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young people, sexual health, and social media

• cross-disciplinary focus
  – public health / media and cultural studies

• everyday practices approach
  – young people’s engagements with SM

literature: public health

• SM described as cost effective (Anand et al. 2013) and efficacious (Bennett & Glasgow 2009)
• public health is mostly contemplating (not doing) social media research/interventions (Capurro et al. 2014)
• difficulty in maintaining privacy/confidentiality (Moorehead et al. 2013; Curtis 2014)
• health education often ignores participatory cultures of SNS (Loss et al. 2014)
• SNS as a ‘setting’ is questionable (Loss et al. 2014)
• young people most common targets of sexual health promotion via SNS (Gold et al. 2011)
• seeking ways “to harness technology to promote safer sex behaviors” to young people (Allison et al. 2012)
• young people appreciate (yet question) user-generated health-related information (Fergie et al. 2012)

literature: media/cultural studies (digital cultures)

• participatory
• identity practice
• ‘networked publics’ (boyd 2008)
• new intimacies (Chambers 2013)
• mundane, everyday sharing
• friendship
• privacy concerns/practices (Madden et al. 2013)
• ‘media multiplexity’ (Madianou & Miller 2012)

social media affordances and risks

affordances
- friendship ties
  (Ellison et al. 2007; Subrahmanyan et al. 2008; boyd 2010)
- social capital
  (Vitak et al. 2011)
- intimacies
  (Baym 2010; Pascoe 2010; Chambers 2013)

risks
- privacy
  (Livingstone 2008; Marwick & boyd 2014; Duguay 2014)
- ‘drama’
  (Marwick & boyd 2011)
- surveillance
Marwick & boyd (2014) *Networked privacy: How teenagers negotiate context in social media*

- privacy as “an ongoing, active practice”
- “The myths that teenagers do not care about privacy persists”
- “many teenagers conceptualize privacy as an ability to control their situation, including their environment, how they are perceived, and the information that they share”
- ‘social stenography’ (covered writing)

Using New Media Cultures to Provide Sexual Health Information for Young Australians


key findings re. social media

- a mundane, everyday practice
- friendship focus
- social (entertainment & humour)
- importance of privacy
- sexual health stigma

(Byron et al. 2013; Evers et al. 2013)

**why Facebook?**

“to keep in contact with friends”
- “talking”
- “socialising”
- “to keep in touch”
- “to chat”
- “find out about parties”
- “seeing what everyone is up to”
- “communication”
- “photos”
- “organising social events”
- “making friends, keeping friends”
- “remembering birthdays”

**focus group statements:**

“no one wants to get a lecture whilst they are online and trying to be doing their social thing” (M, regional, 18-22yrs)

“confidential things can spread like wildfire” (M, Sydney, 16-17yrs)

“It’s like, you don’t really want that on your wall” (F, Sydney, 18-22yrs)

“Well I think you need to have a funny element because if it’s just serious it’s going to scare people off. So I think you need to draw people in using funny and then maybe have like a serious kind of punch line at the end or something.” (F, regional, 16–22yrs)


“Whilst information sharing was flagged as a useful function of social media, young people highlighted the opportunity that exists to engage in respectful, purposeful two way dialogue with them through social media.”

‘serious health promotion’

‘Tweet Content Related to Sexually Transmitted Diseases: No Joking Matter’ (Gabarron et al. 2014)
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