# SHARED DECISION MAKING

#### AMGA 2013 INSTITUTE FOR QUALITY LEADERSHIP



Richard Wexler, MD Chief Medical Officer rwexler@imdfoundation.org

# DISCLOSURE

I am employed by the nonprofit Informed Medical Decisions Foundation. Our Foundation is funded by royalties and project revenue from Health Dialog. Health Dialog co-produces patient decision aids with our Foundation and distributes them to health plans, employers and provider groups.



# INTRODUCTIONS

- David McCulloch, MD, FRCP
  - Medical Director of Clinical Improvement, GHC
  - Clinical Prof of Medicine, University of Washington
- Karen Sepucha, PhD
  - Director of the Health Decisions Science Center Massachusetts General Hospital
  - Assistant Professor in Medicine at Harvard Medical School
- Richard Wexler, MD
  - Chief Medical Officer, Informed Medical Decisions Foundation



# SESSION OUTLINE

- What is shared decision making (SDM) and why bother with it?
- What does a large scale implementation of SDM look like?
- How do we measure "decision quality"?
- What's going on in your world with SDM?
- How do we get started with SDM?

# SHOW OF HANDS



In the past 2 years, have you made a decision about starting or stopping a medication or having a surgical procedure?

# SHARED DECISION MAKING

"the process of **interacting** with patients who **wish** to be involved in arriving at an **informed**, **values-based** choice among two or more medically reasonable alternatives"<sup>1</sup>

Information

### Informed

### There is a choice The options The benefits and harms of the options

**The Clinician** 

### Values-Based

What's important to the patient

**The Patient** 

6

# <sup>1</sup>A.M. O'Connor et al, "Modifying Unwarranted Variations In Health Care: Shared Decision Making Using Patient Decision Aids" *Health Affairs*, 7 October, 2004

## A SCHEMATIC OF SHARED DECISION MAKING



© All Rights Reserved Foundation for Informed Medical Decision Making 2011

# PATIENT DECISION AIDS: TOOLS TO FACILITATE SDM

- Describe a specific condition
- Present information organized around specific decisions
- Strive to keep information accessible (charts, graphs) and balanced
- Encourage patients to interpret information in context of their own goals and concerns
- Engage viewers with real patient stories
- Advise patients to make decisions with their physician





© All Rights Reserved Foundation for Informed Medical Decision Making 2011

# WHY BOTHER WITH SDM?

# **Shared Decision Making**





## **Pragmatic Considerations**



# WHY BOTHER WITH SDM?

### **Ethical Imperative**

- Patient autonomy
  - "No fateful decision in the face of avoidable ignorance"
  - "No decision about me without me"

### Pragmatic Considerations

- Federal policy initiative
- State policy initiatives
- Increasing patient demand
- Professional society support



# NO DECISION ABOUT ME WITHOUT ME



# THE SILENT MISDIAGNOSIS



"Many doctors aspire to excellence in diagnosing disease. Far fewer, unfortunately, aspire to the same standards of excellence in diagnosing what patients want."

Mulley A, Trimble C, Elwyn G. Patients' preferences matter: stop the silent misdiagnosis. 367 London: King's Fund; 2012



# A CHORUS OF VOICES CALLING FOR SDM













National Priorities Partnership Convened by the National Quality Forum NQF THE NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM



American Cancer Society®



National Business Group on Health

FOUNDATION FOR INFORMED MEDICAL DECISION MAKING



PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION FOR THE STUDY OF ETHICAL PROBLEMS (1982)

- First time shared decision making mentioned
- Informed consent is an ethical obligation that involves SDM and is rooted in mutual respect
- Patient entitled to accept or reject medical interventions based on personal values



# **30 YEARS LATER**

- SDM requirements for
  - Accountable Care Organizations
  - Comprehensive Primary Care practices
  - Centers of Excellence
- Greater protection against medical/legal action when decision aids are used
- SDM as a major component of the National Quality Strategy

## IMPORTANCE RATINGS BY DEMOGRAPHIC GROUP



ncludes all valid demonstration site surveys in Illume database distributed in a primary care setting as of 6/1/12 (unweighted) \*\*Statistically significant (p ≤ 0.05) (Chi square test)



Implementation of Shared Decision Making At Group Health: Lessons Learned



David K. McCulloch, MD

### Here's the question



### Wouldn't it be great if every time a person had to make a difficult medical decision in their life that they got all the relevant, useful information they needed to help them (and their families) come to the best decision for them...



Surely what we do right now is good enough?



If that were the case then the rates of various procedures and surgeries would be the same across the country and differences would be accounted for only by differing medical needs in the population.

# Variation rates for knee replacement across the USA





# SDM May Reduce Unwarranted Variation in Health Care Use

#### Figure 3: Age and Sex Adjusted Knee Replacement Rates By Region: 2005

Universe: Washington residents discharged from hospitals located in Washington



Source: Authors' calculations using CHARS data, 1990 to 2005. Rates are directly adjusted to the age and sex distribution of Washington's population in 1990. 95% confidence intervals are shown.

#### Washington Inpatient Atlas Project (WAIP)

-lealth

### Why variation?



Rates of knee replacement vary remarkably, because there is <u>less consensus among physicians</u> about when to do these procedures, who needs them, and how effective they are in addressing the problems they are intended to solve.

### Decision Aids help find the "right rate"



The "right rate" of a given procedure should be based on the choices made by informed patients, with information about, but not dominated by, their physicians' opinions.

Shared decision making, supported by decision aids, would help to establish valid measures of the actual demand for a given treatment option.

In some areas, where the rates of some procedures may increase.

In other areas, the rates may decrease.

# How important is "Shared Decision Making (SDM)?"



Nice to do if you have the time and inclination.

Cultural spectrum

**No patient** should undergo a preference sensitive procedure without documented evidence that they got all the information they needed and then had a conversation with their provider in which their preferences were expressed before they made their decision.

I want to push us right over here!

# But I already DO shared decision-making with my patients...





Key points about the Health Dialog/IMDF videos that are available at Group Health



- They are available in two ways:
  - As a DVD that will be mailed to them via an Epic order
  - Streaming live (accessed from MyGroupHealth)
- They are incredibly well balanced and do not push patients into one particular direction or another
- They do NOT push patients away from surgery
- Patients and their families do NOT find them to be too long
- A brief questionnaire that patients fill out afterwards tests their knowledge and invites them to express their preferences and where they have questions

### Preference-sensitive surgical conditions

### **Orthopedic Surgery**

Hip Osteoarthritis

Knee Osteoarthritis

### Cardiology

**Coronary Artery Disease** 

### **Neurosurgery/Orthopedics**

**Spinal Stenosis** 

Herniated Disc

Acute Low Back Pain

**Chronic Low Back Pain** 

### Women's Health

- Uterine Fibroids
- Abnormal Uterine Bleeding

### **Breast Cancer-General Surgery**

- Early Stage Breast Cancer
- Breast Reconstruction
- Ductal Carcinoma In Situ

### Urology

Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia



Épio GHC PRAC	GHC PRACTICE ENVIRONMENT - Hyperspace - PRC FAMILY PRACTICE																
<u>D</u> esktop Action Patient Care Reports Tools Help																	
😓 Back 🔿 Forward 😰 Home 📴 Schedule 🖾 In Basket 😋 Chart 🍕 Encounter 鑃 Tel Enc 📃 Patient Lists 🚆 Secure 🌆 MyEpic 🥌 Print 🗸 🚧 Log Out 🗧																	
Epic 🔂 Home EpicC																	
								Penicilli	Allergies	wir Aenirin	PCP EENIT SA	Ak M HM	erts	INS lot know	MyGH		
o	-	Place or	ters (Enc	Date: 5/	20/2009) -	- Wt: (No	t ontoro	d for this	visit) Ht: (	Not entered	d for this visi	n <u>III</u>		OT KIIOW	Resize N		
ShapShot Chart Review															1100120		
Chait neview	_	Association	CodeSearch	I≡I Pref List	Interactions	Pharmacy	Providers	CC Results	Open Orde	rs Pend Orders	Sign Orders	Financial	References	Benefits	More		
Prowsheets		-	5		-	- /	-			-							
Hesults Heviev	W	New order: Search										Next	Next Edit Multiple				
Allergies	SDM	0-4 4-0			h-		Sea	urch	Proference	o Liot (EE)	Database	Lookup (F	-7)				
History	loow							aron	Freierend	e List (Fb)		cookap (i	<u> </u>				
Problem List	Co	de						Name						Гуре	Remove		
Demographic	PE56	U SDM C SDM	I (aka ABNI Male DENI	URMAL U	TERINE B		IREAT		DICES DVI	J)			Educ	cational	1		
Letters	DE 50	8 SDM 3 SDM	I (aka DENI I (aka RDE	IGN PRUS AGT DEC	ONSTRUCT)	TIONH IS	ЗІАТКЕ. ІТ DIGHT		עען ערענ				Edu	cational	Irder		
Letters	PE55	5 3010 5 SDM	i (aka DHE) I (aka COB	NARY A	BTEBY D	ISEASE:	TREATM		CESIDVD)				Edu	cational			
Forms	PE56	3 301. 4 SDM	i (aka DUC	TAL CAR		N SITU: TR	REATME	NT DVD)	0000,000				Edu	cational			
Imm/Injection	PE56	2561 SDM (aka EARLY STAGE BREAST CANCER: CHOOSING YOUR SURGERY DVD)									Edu	cational					
Medications	PE55	2 SDM	SDM (aka HERNIATED DISC TREATMENT DVD)														
Order Entre	PE55	.553 SDM (aka HIP OSTEOARTHRITIS: TREATMENT CHOICES DVD)										Edu	cational				
	PE57	1 SDM	SDM (aka IS A PSA TEST RIGHT FOR YOU? DVD)												ducational		
	PE55	4 SDM	l (aka KNEI	E OSTEO	ARTHRITI	S TREAT	MENT D	VD)					Edu	cational			
MyChart Enc	PE55	PE557 SDM (aka PROSTATE CANCER: TREATMENT CHOICES DVD)										Edu	cational	Edit			
Open Orders	PE551 SDM (aka SPINAL STENOSIS: TREATING LOW BACK AND LEC								G DVD)					cational			
	PE55	9 SDM	l (aka UTE	RINE FIBE	ROIDS: TF	REATMEN	AL CHOIC	CES DVD)					Edu	cational	Resolve		
				Di c				C20.004	1-	ACTUMA				402	te Added		
		I. Abr	normal Uterine	Bleeding				626.88A	-	LATERAL	EPICONDYLITIS	(Tennis Elboy	wÌ	493 726.32A	8/9/02		
									<b>→</b>	Benign Hyp	pertension	RDINATION: DIABETES		401.1A	2/5/07		
										GHC CIS C	ARE COORDINA			GHC.04	10/17/06		
									<b>4</b>	COMMUNI	COMMUNICATION PROXY			GHC.03	7/13/06		
										Reflux (esc	phogeal pain)			530.81	6/24/02		
Hotkey List																	
Exit Worksp	ace	Auth Prov: HANDLEY, MATTHEW R [001687] Pharmacy: <none selected=""></none>												1 order	selected		
MATT HAN	IDLEY	1	🖬 🌦 🔸 Re	esult Note:	s, Results,	Addendur	m Notifica	tion, Cance	eled Ord, C	C'd Charts, C	osign-Orders,	Future/Star	nding Ord	ers,	<ul> <li>1:39 PM</li> </ul>		

### **MyGroupHealth**





### Video Library: Deciding on a Treatment

These patient education videos explain treatment or screening options for certain diseases and conditions. The programs aren't intended to be medical advice.

Your doctor may have referred you to a video to help you understand the pros and cons of your options. Not all of the options discussed here may be appropriate to your medical situation. Talk with your doctor; this information can help you take an active role in making treatment decisions with your doctor.

#### Arthritis

- Treatment Choices for Hip Osteoarthritis (48 minutes)
- Treatment Choices for Knee Osteoarthritis (45 minutes)

#### Back Care

- Spinal Stenosis: Treating Low Back and Leg Symptoms (54 minutes)
- Herniated Disc: Choosing the Right Treatment for You (38 minutes)

#### Heart Disease

<u>Treatment Choices for Coronary Artery Disease</u> (51 minutes)

#### PRINT-FRIENDLY VERSION

#### Your Feedback Is Needed

Have you viewed a video here or read the related document? If so, we'd like your opinion. Your feedback is appreciated. <u>Fill out survey</u>

#### Men's Issues

- <u>Enlarged Prostate (BPH): Choosing Your Treatment</u> (45 minutes)
- Treatment Choices for Prostate Cancer (45 minutes)

#### Women's Issues

- Treatment Choices for Uterine Fibroids (34 minutes)
- Treatment Choices for Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (32 minutes)

#### **Breast Cancer**

- <u>Early Stage Breast Cancer: Choosing Your Surgery</u> (54 minutes)
- Breast Reconstruction: Is It Right for You? (55 minutes)
- Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS): Choosing Your Treatment (54 minutes)

96% 2,156 PATIENTS

OF SURVEYED

**Decision aid videos** helped me understand my treatment choices

95% OF 2,139 PATIENTS SURVEYED

Decision aid videos helped me prepare to talk with my provider



### Clip from Knee Osteoarthritis video (discussing surgical options)





# How many of you have watched some part of the Health Dialog videos?



# Oh I don't need to watch all that stuff. I know all the relevant information already...
#### Knowledge questions after using the DA

Out of 100 people with painful knee osteoarthritis and using non-surgical treatments, after 10-18 years how many are doing about the same or better?

NUT

alth

- 1. <5
- 2. 5-10
- 3. 10-30
- 4. 30-50
- 5. 50-70
- 6. 70-90
- 7. >90

#### Knowledge questions after using the DA

Out of 100 people with painful knee osteoarthritis and using non-surgical treatments, after 10-18 years how many are doing about the same or better?

NUT

alth



7. >90

Questions patients need to ask themselves before deciding about surgery or nonsurgical options

- How much pain am I in?
- What does the pain prevent me from doing?
- How well do nonsurgical treatments manage the pain?

GroupHealth

- What am I willing to do to manage the pain nonsurgically?
- Am I willing to take on the risk of surgery?
- Can I afford to take the time off for recovery?
- Which is more important; getting the possible benefits from surgery or avoiding the possible harms?

38,000 More than 31,000 decision aids delivered to patients as of January 2013. July

# In process measurement–volume of distribution





#### In process measure-"defect measure"



GroupHealth



### HIGHER SATISFACTION

LOWER COSTS

HIGHER QUALITY Large scale implementation of patient decision aids is feasible.

# Improves patient satisfaction and knowledge.

# Appears to lower rates of elective surgery.

By David Arterburn, Robert Wellman, Emily Westbrook, Carolyn Rutter, Tyler Ross, David McCulloch, Matthew Handley, and Charles Jung

### Introducing Decision Aids At Group Health Was Linked To Sharply Lower Hip And Knee Surgery Rates And Costs

DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0686 HEALTH AFFAIRS 31, NO. 9 (2012): -©2012 Project HOPE---The People-to-People Health Foundation, Inc.

ABSTRACT Decision aids are evidence-based sources of health information that can help patients make informed treatment decisions. However, little is known about how decision aids affect health care use when they are implemented outside of randomized controlled clinical trials. We conducted an observational study to examine the associations between introducing decision aids for hip and knee osteoarthritis and rates of joint replacement surgery and costs in a large health system in David Arterburn

(arterburn.d@ghc.org) is a general internist and associate investigator at Group Health Research Institute and an affiliate associate professor at the University of Washington, in Seattle.

investigator at Group Health

introduction of decision aids was associated with 26 percent fewer hip replacement surgeries, 38 percent fewer knee replacements, and

 12-21 percent lower costs over six months. These findings support the

 concept that patient decision aids for some health conditions, for which

 treatment decisions are highly sensitive to both patients' and physicians'

 preferences, may reduce rates of elective surgery and lower costs.

# Comparison of mean costs in 6 months after index date, control vs. intervention



	Hip Osteoarthritis Cohorts			Knee Osteoarthritis Cohorts		
	Control N=968	Intervention N=820		Control N=4217	Intervention N=3510	
Costs (2009 dollars)						
Total, Mean	16,557	13,489		10,040	8,041	
Inpatient	7,793	5,774		3,512	2,475	
Outpatient	8,764	7,715		6,528	5,565	
Primary Care	548	568		597	532	
Pharmacy	4,894	4,091		3,219	2,591	
Specialty Care	2,497	1,868		1,460	951	
Orthopedic Surgery	790	629		773	694	

Overall positive or neutral about decision aids

Benefits of decision aids outweigh minor concerns

Patients are more informed

**Takes less time** 

90% of surgeons attended a Shared Decision Making CME event in 2011

**Overall positive comments about training experience** 



Well-accepted by clinicians.

# Reduces costs or is at least cost-neutral.

# Offers potential for greater liability protection.

#### Lessons Learned and Keys To Success?



- We learned things about patient behavior
- We learned things about provider behavior
- We learned things about "system" behavior
- Some lessons are not specific to Shared Decision Making but are basic "QI implementation-101"
  - Leadership involvement
  - Clinician engagement
  - Effective measurement systems

#### Lessons Learned



respectful

Most patients LOVE this amount of detailed information 1.

_		
2.	Most patients do NOT think the video	A higher level of informed

- Most docs think they know the key cl 3.
- 4. Most docs do NOT know the key clini
- 5. Most docs think they already do SDM .

- consent
- Patients are happier with the outcome no matter which option they chose
- Patients are less likely to sue if things don't go well
- 6. Most docs do NOT do effective SDM
- The selling point for clinical teams is that this is thou 7. patient-centered care
- 8. The selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiation to specialists at the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists at the selling point to specialists is that they will see monomiate the selling point to specialists at t great candidates for the procedure and will have "better outcomes"
- Getting SDM inserted earlier in the process is better (though better late 9. than never!)

#### **Keys To Success**



- 1. Get meaningful senior leadership buy-in
- 2. Get buy-in from specialists
- 3. Set the expectation that this is a routine part of excellent patient-centered care
- 4. Coach communication skills to all team members
- 5. Embed SDM in processes (like referrals) and standard work at check-in, rooming, etc.
- 6. Measure processes right down to individual provider team level
- 7. Make measurement transparent
- 8. Make SDM part of standard management rounding

Document patient's knowledge, values, preferences
 Document that SDM conversations have occurred

#### Next steps for Group Health



#### Adding more decision aids

End-of-Life Care

Acute and Chronic Low Back Pain

End Stage Renal Disease

Knee Arthroscopy for Meniscal Tears and Osteoarthritis

Moving shared decision making upstream into Primary Care

Automated recording of knowledge, values, and treatment choices in electronic medical records

# Measuring and Improving the Quality of Medical Decisions

Karen Sepucha, PhD Health Decision Science Center Massachusetts General Hospital ksepucha@partners.org http://www.massgeneral.org/decisionsciences/

## Disclosure

Funding for this work was provided by the Informed Medical Decisions Foundation

Dr. Sepucha also receives salary and research support from the Susan G. Komen Foundation, AHRQ, and Mass General Physician's Organization

Dr. Sepucha is an advisor for Vital Decisions LLC

## Goal

# Every patient facing a significant medical decision is well informed, meaningfully involved and receives treatment that matches their goals.



1. How can we measure shared decision making?

- 2. How does SDM fit into organizational priorities?
  - Quality improvement
  - Performance measurement

# Who made the decision about treatment of your breast cancer?

□ Mainly the doctor

**X** Both equally

Mainly you

"they didn't say to me, "Well, we could remove the breast, we could do this, we could do that." They just said, "This is what we're going to do." And that was it—I wasn't in on the decision."

"She[the doc] was compassionate, ... [and] gave me the data that I needed ... We talked statistics and sizes and things that helped me with my decision."

"I made the decision. I'm very happy with the lumpectomy because that's what I wanted to do from the beginning. They [my doctors] didn't disagree. They didn't agree. They just said, "Okay."."

## **Measuring Decision Quality**

DECISION QUALITY

### Policy Support For Patient-Centered Care: The Need For Measurable Improvements In Decision Quality

Documenting gaps in patients' knowledge could stimulate rapid change, moving decisions and care closer to a patient-centered ideal.

by Karen R. Sepucha, Floyd J. Fowler Jr., and Albert G. Mulley Jr.

**ABSTRACT:** The phenomenon of practice variation draws attention to the need for better management of clinical decision making as a means of ensuring quality. Different policies to address variations, including guidelines and measures of appropriateness, have had little demonstrable impact on variation itself or on the underlying quality problems. Variations in rates of interventions raise questions about the patient-centeredness of decisions that determine what care is provided to whom. Policies that support the development and routine use of measures of decision quality will provide opportunities to measurably improve To provide evidence that

- The patient understands key <u>facts</u>.

-The treatment received is consistent with the patient's personal goals.

-The patient was meaningfully involved in decision making

#### Source: Sepucha et al. 2004 Health Affairs

## Measuring knowledge

- Key facts
- Mix of gist and quantitative

 Strong psychometrics

#### Section 2: Facts About Hip Osteoarthritis

This set of questions asks about some facts doctors think are important for patients to know about hip osteoarthritis. The correct answer to each question is based on medical research. Please do your best to answer each question.

- 2.1. Which treatment is most likely to provide relief from hip pain caused by osteoarthritis?
  - Surgery
  - Non-surgical treatments
  - Both are about the same
  - I am not sure
- 2.2. About how many people who have hip replacement surgery will need to have <u>the same hip replaced again</u> in less than 20 years?
  - More than half
    About half
    Less than half
    I am not sure
- 2.3. If 100 people have hip replacement surgery, about how many will have <u>less hip pain</u> when walking after the surgery?
  - □ 25 or fewer □ 26 to 50
  - 51 to 75
  - 76 to 100
  - I am not sure

# **Decisions Study**

Nationally representative sample of 3,010 English speaking adults 40+



### Surgery

- Back surgery, knee/hip replacement, cataracts
- Cancer screening
  - Prostate, colorectal, breast

### Medications

High blood pressure, high cholesterol, depression

## What Did Patients Know?



For 7 out of 9 decisions, fewer than half could get more than one of the knowledge questions right.

→ Patient decision aids increase knowledge



# Measuring involvement

- Concrete, observable actions
- Focused on specific decision
- Adapted for use in CG-CAHPS

#### Section 3: Talking With Health Care Providers

Please answer these questions about what happened when you talked with health care providers including doctors, nurses and other health care professionals about hip replacement surgery and other non-surgical treatments, such as exercise or medicine, for hip osteoarthritis.

3.1. Did any of your health care providers talk about <u>hip replacement</u> <u>surgery</u> as an option for you?

> □ Yes □ No

- 3.2. How much did you and your health care providers talk about the reasons to have hip replacement surgery?
  - □ A lot □ Some □ A little □ Not at all
- 3.3. How much did you and your health care providers talk about the reasons <u>not</u> to have hip replacement surgery?

A lot
Some
A little
Not at all

## Breast cancer surgery decision n=440



# What's possible? UCSF Decision Services



N=131, Belkora et al. 2011

# Measuring goals

- Straightforward task
- Key consequences good and bad
- Challenge of timing assessment

#### Section 1: What Matters Most to You

This set of questions includes some reasons people have given for choosing to have hip replacement surgery or not. We are interested in what is important to you.

Please circle a number from 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, to indicate how important each of the following are to you as you are thinking about whether or not to have surgery.

How important is it to you to . . .

	Not at a importa	ll int							I	Extrer impor	mely rtant
1.1. relieve your hip pain?	0	1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	9	10
1.2. not be limited in what you can do because of your hip pain?	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.3. avoid having surgery for your hip?	r 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.4. avoid taking prescription medicine for your hip pain?	n 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

# Do patients get treatments that match their goals?

	Had Surgery	Had non surgical treatment
Goals suggest Surgery		Underuse?
Goals suggest Non surgical	Overuse?	

# Hip and knee osteoarthritis patients (n=383)

	Had Surgery	Had non surgical treatment
Goals suggest Surgery	50%	25%
Goals suggest Non surgical	12%	14%

Source: Sepucha K et al. Decision quality instrument for treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis: a psychometric evaluation. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011 Jul 5;12(1):149. 71

# Is there a "Decision Quality" score?

- Informed <u>and</u> receive treatments that match their goals
  - 31% of hip/knee respondents met cutoff for knowledge and had treatment that matched their goals
  - Site (using decision aids), involvement score, and having had surgery were associated with higher DQ
- Linked to less regret and more confidence
## Agenda

- 1. How can we measure whether shared decision making is happening?
- 2. How does SDM fit into organizational priorities?
  - Quality improvement
  - Performance measurement

## Case study: Mr. M's Story

- 71yo man referred to orthopedics, worsening right hip pain over past 2 years, x-rays confirm damage
- Orthopedic surgeon's note: "I went over in some detail different treatment options. He very much wishes to proceed with right total hip replacement."
- Talked with family and friends, saw PCP for preop evaluation

Massachusetts General Hospital Yawkey Center for Outpatient Care 55 Fruit Street, Suite 3700 Boston, Massachusetts 02114

#### Mr. M's Letter

Dear Dr.

Re: Hip Replacement Surgery

I am writing to tell you that at this time I will not be proceeding with my right hip replacement procedure. Therefore, will you please cancel my appointments for pre-admission testing on July 12, \_\_\_\_\_, and for surgery on July 28, \_\_\_\_\_\_.

About six months ago I added daily biking to my exercise routine and after three months found that the nighttime hip pain was gone. When I saw you in May, I was not sure if this important change to my life style would hold. It has so far.

Based on a conference with Dr. **Constitution** my primary care physician, and on a viewing of the very helpful information on a DVD that he prescribed (Treatment Choices for Hip Osteoarthritis), sent to me by Massachusetts General's Patient and Family Learning Center, I have decided that waiting for the surgery is the best decision.

Thank you for your help and patience.

With kind regards,

## What if...

- The PCP hadn't sent the video?
- The patient had gone through with surgery?
- The surgeon had asked if the patient had any concerns about surgery?

#### Model on Ontario arthritis centers



Summary of Clinical Priority and Patient's Preference for Total Joint Replacement

Certainty	Prefers: Knee replacement surgery								
	✓ Feels sure about best choi	ice							
Knowledge	75% correct answers	✓ knee pain worsens over time	✓ knee pain worsens over time						
		✓ replacement needed again in 11-20 years							
	<ul> <li>Feels knows enough</li> </ul>	✓ surgery improves walking w	<ul> <li>✓ surgery improves walking without pain in &gt;75% patients</li> <li>▲ full recovery takes more than 6 months</li> </ul>						
	2	full recovery takes more that							
Values	97% values predict surgi	ical preference	Not	Ver					
			Important	Importa					
	<ul> <li>Feels clear about values</li> </ul>	Reasons for Surgery	12345	67891					
		Get pain relief		1					
		Return to normal activities		1					
		Avoid side effect of pain meds	5						
		Reasons Against Surgery							
		Avoid surgery	3						
		Avoid time off for recovery	2						
		Avoid side effect of surgery	5						
Support	✓ Feels has enough support	and advice to make a choice							
Patient's Que	stions and Comments								

1.

## **Quality Improvement**

- OB/Gyn department used SDM for QI bonus
  - Q1: watch decision aid and complete needs assessment questionnaire
  - Q2: order patient decision aid
- Providers familiar with content, open to using programs

 $\rightarrow$  Incorporated into nurse triage role

#### Partners ACO: care improvement tactics

	Longitudinal Care	Episod	dic Care				
	Primary Care	Specialty Care	Hospital Care				
	Patient portal/	Access program					
Access to care	Extended hours/sar	Reduced low acuity admissions					
	Expand virtu						
	Defined process standards in priority conditions (multidissiplinary teams)						
			Re-admissions				
Design of care	High risk care management	Shared decision making	Hospital Acquired Conditions				
	100% preventive services	100% preventive Appropriateness					
	Chronic condit						
	EHR with decision support and order entry						
	Incentive programs						
Magaziramant	Variance reporting/performance dashboards						
weasurement	Quality metrics: clinical outcomes, satisfaction						
	Costs/population Costs/episode						

Source: Milford, CE, Ferris TG (2012 Aug). A modified "golden rule" for health care organizations. Mayo Clin Proc. 87(8):717-720.

## MGH Shared Decision Making Program

Patient decision aid orders



#### **Procedure Decision Support System**

🖉 QPID - P	rOE - MRN: 0000004					6	•	🖶 🔹 Pa	ge 👻 <u>S</u> afety 👻	T <u>o</u> ols + 🔞
<b>)</b>	2PID PrOE						Options L	egend	Feedback	Help
<u>Claus,</u>	<u>Santa J</u> (0000004	-) 63/M	Visit Date: 20	12-06-30	Proced	ure Selected:	CEA - Left			
Proc	cedure Clinica	I Summary	Guidelines	Risks	Assessment	Consent	Schedule	)		
Appr	opriateness Scores:	:								
Ca En	rotid darterectomy	Cont	irm CEA	for this pro	ocedure been share	d with the na	tient?			
Ca	rotid Stent		es o	for this pre		a war are pa	uene.			
Me	dical therapy			Submit	Cancel					
<b>Risk</b> Risk	Scores:	in Hospital for (	CAS: 2.2 %							
<	: Back - Risks	P	rint	[	EHR Note	Refe	rences		Next - Conse	nt >

# Mr. M's story, continued

- 2 years later, pain worsened and night time pain came back
- Went back to surgeon and had replacement surgery
- Good relief of pain, good function, no regrets

## Summary

- SDM measurement is part of ACO and PCMH
  - CG-CAHPS
  - Decision quality instruments available at: (<u>www.massgeneral.org/decisionsciences/</u>)
- In general, patients not well informed or involved, and do not always receive treatments that match goals
- Assessment of decision quality may enhance accountability that we have reached right patient, right treatment, right time

#### SHARED DECISION MAKING GETTING STARTED

#### Key Objectives For Successful Implementation of SDM with DAs



© Informed Medical Decisions Foundation 2013

#### Key Objectives For Successful Implementation of SDM with DAs



## SIX STEPS TO SDM

- 1. Invite patient to participate
- 2. Present options
- 3. Provide information on benefits and risks
- 4. Assist patient in evaluating options based on their goals and concerns
- 5. Facilitate deliberation and decision making
- 6. Assist with implementation

87

#### **KEY RESOURCES**

www.decisionaid.ohri.ca www.informedmedicaldecisions.org www.massgeneral.org/decisionsciences www.mayo.edu/research/labs/knowledgeevaluation-research-unit



