Are Rapid Point-of-care Tests for Syphilis Useful in Outbreak Settings in Remote Australia?
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Background – Resurgence of syphilis

Resurgence of syphilis in remote Indigenous communities (outbreaks in north QLD, NT and WA)

The 2014/2015 syphilis outbreak in the NT

Number of new outbreak cases

Onset Years / Onset Months

Katherine Barkly Alice Springs Region

Background – Serological diagnosis of syphilis

- 2 types of antibodies to detect:
  - Non-treponemal: RPR, VDRL
  - Treponemal: FTA-abs, TPPA, EIA/CMIA (PoCT available)

- Both test types have imperfect specificity
- False +ve possible for both
- Reactive treponemal test remain positive post treatment – cannot differentiate active infections from inactive ones
- Need trained technicians, controlled laboratory environment and equipment
- Usually located in urban centres, far away from the location of outbreak; long transportation time; delayed diagnosis

Background – PoCT for syphilis

- Mostly only treponemal test
- Targeting Anti- T. pallidum IgG, IgM, IgA
- Form: test strip, cassette
- Clear and easy-to-interpret results
- Specimen type: whole blood (finger prick), serum or plasma
- Room temperature storage
- Simple steps involved in the test: usually 2-3 steps
- No additional equipment required
Background – Advantages of PoCT for syphilis

- High specificity and adequate sensitivity (need to bear in mind)
- Compared with traditional blood testing: easier to perform, less painful, without taking blood—better acceptability
- Can be performed by clinical staff without lab training, with minimal training
- Facilitating prompt clinical decision making
- Can be used outside of labs and clinics (e.g. outreach or remote clinics, screening stations, etc.)

Assessment: A=Affordable

- Cost per test kit:
  - OnSite Ab Combo: $2.0-2.5
  - SD Bioline: $3.5-4.0
  - Determine: $3.5-4.0
- The population of 12-30 year olds in most remote communities affected by the current outbreak: ≈250-300
- Material cost for PoCT per screen = $1000-$1200

Assessment: S=Sensitive

Causer et al. (2014). A laboratory-based evaluation of four rapid point-of-care tests for syphilis. PLOS ONE, 9(3):e91504. (n=1203, urban, Sydney/Melbourne, stored sera)

SD Bioline: performance:
- Sensitivity: 100%
- Specificity: 81.5% (95%CI: 76.6-86.4%)

Assessment: U, R, E

- U = User-friendly
- R = Robust and rapid (results available in <30 min)
- E = Equipment free

Assessment: D = Deliverable to those who need them

Syphilis outbreak response

- Forming a multi-disciplinary outbreak response team; weekly team meetings
- Alerting clinicians with public health alerts and surveillance newsletters, with updates
- Surveillance and monitoring with testing and treatment data (Syphilis Register; PCIS; lab testing data)
- Education and promoting opportunistic testing at PHC clinics; repeat every 3 months (remote sexual health team)
- Enhanced case management (incl. contact tracing)
- Enhancing awareness in government and non-government key stakeholders and community representatives

Community-wide screening using PoCT

- Deemed required due to high numbers of cases and untraced contacts in 3 communities
- As an additional outbreak control strategy
- NT Guidelines on the conduction of community-wide screening for syphilis in outbreak situations
- Using PoCT to facilitate the screening, and enable prompt treatment and contact tracing
- Devising screening protocol (flow chart)
- Producing updated population list with status of past infection (blood test for those with past infection)
- Staff training (administering and interpreting PoCT, learning screening protocol)
- Support by Syphilis Register (e.g. for visitors not on the list)

Further considerations

- Less than ideal sensitivity of the PoCT
  - Some cases might be missed (false neg).
  - But it is to be expected that some early cases might be missed
  - The outbreak response will keep promoting repeat testing in 3 months and opportunistic testing at clinics.
- Community-wide screen – deemed as an additional outbreak response measure, complementing other measures
- Rescreens are planned
- Feasibility of using PoCT in community-wide screening for outbreak control
  - Low background seropositivity in the targeted age group (12-30 year olds) → lower possibility of overtreatment
  - Syphilis Register provided information on past infection → further reduced possibility of overtreatment
  - Expected high prevalence (during outbreak) → higher PPV
Results of community-wide screening (PoCT part only)

- 2 communities with high numbers of cases (>5 cases) and untraced contacts (>5 cases)
- Community-wide screens conducted in September-December 2014
- Staff of Remote Sexual Health Team of NT CDC conducted the screens with local clinic staff
- Age-range targeted: 12-30 years (based on early cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident population</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident tested, n (%)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors tested</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tested</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Among all tested
  - Median age: 19, 21, 20
  - IQR: 15-24, 16-26, 15-25

| PoCT positive          | all 24 | 28 | 52
| no past infection      | 19 | 20 | 39

Prevalence among those tested: 8.5%, 7.4%, 7.9%

Discussion

- Great acceptability and compliance (no one refused the test)
- Screening protocol ensured the screening ran smoothly.
- Many residents not found in relevant communities for testing (low coverage, ~60% among residents)
- High number of visitors tested (transient population) May need synchronised whole-of-region screening to achieve high overall coverage. Currently will need repeat community testing.

Discussion-cont’d

- Need to emphasise repeat testing in 3 months required (as less than ideal sensitivity of PoCT; early cases may be missed)
- Re-screens yielded poorer coverage, despite same method (the PoCT was still very accepted)
- Conducting screening outside clinic deemed culturally inappropriate in some communities – further communication and engagement needed
- Problem: no PoCT available for purchase from 1 Jul 2015 as needing TGA approval for sale in Australia.

Conclusion

- PoCT for syphilis is useful for case detection in an outbreak setting in remote Indigenous communities in Australia, with
  - prior community engagement,
  - updated population lists with sero-status,
  - clear screening protocols, and
  - staff training.
- However, given the less than ideal sensitivity, community-wide screening with PoCT can only be used as an additional/supplementary outbreak response measure, and retesting in 3 months is important.
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