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Background

• Rates of syphilis and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) have increased significantly in Victoria over the past five to ten years, predominantly in men who have sex with men (MSM).

• Undiagnosed and untreated STIs are the source of continuing transmission. The time to laboratory diagnosis of infection and time to treatment of these infections is critical to minimizing new infections.

• STIGMA STI screening guidelines recommend that men who are HIV-positive be tested for syphilis, chlamydia and gonorrhoea up to 4 times a year, typically in conjunction with CD4 and HIV viral load testing.

Aims

To assess the:
1. STI screening rate in MSM attending an HIV ambulatory care clinic in 2015
2. Incidence of STIs (syphilis, chlamydia, and gonorrhoea) in 2015
3. Time to laboratory diagnosis of STIs
4. Time to treatment of STIs
5. Changes in HIV viral loads in the setting of active syphilis infection

Methods

• Study Population: HIV positive MSM, whose primary HIV care was provided through The Alfred Hospital Infectious Diseases Clinic. Active management was defined as having had 2 or more HIV viral load tests performed at Alfred Pathology in the 2015 calendar year.

• Study Design: Retrospective cohort study.

• Data Collection: The Victorian HIV Database was used to identify the MSM population managed at The Alfred Hospital and obtain demographic data, results and dates of HIV viral load tests, syphilis serology, and chlamydia and gonorrhoea NAAT results. Clinical information regarding the timing of treatment for STIs was extracted from The Alfred’s electronic medical records.

Results

• Sample size = 584 MSM managed during 2015
• Median age = 53 (IQR 45-60) years
• Study period = 01/01/2015 – 31/12/2015

STI Screening Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening frequency of patients in 2015</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 tests</td>
<td>120 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 test</td>
<td>162 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or more tests</td>
<td>302 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STI screen</strong></td>
<td><strong>342 (59%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STI screen includes urine and rectal swab for chlamydia and gonorrhoea PCR

Viral load tests and syphilis infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV viral load (VL) at time of syphilis diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV viral load ≤20 copies/ml</td>
<td>12 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV VL between 21 and 200 Copies/ml</td>
<td>4 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV VL &gt;200 copies/ml</td>
<td>3 (16%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Of the 22 patients diagnosed with syphilis, 3 patients had syphilis and HIV diagnosed at the same time (excluded from table above), the remaining 19 were on ART at the time of syphilis diagnosis.

• The syphilis serology test diagnostic of new infection was performed simultaneously with HIV VL testing in these 19 patients.

• Of the 3 patients with HIV VL >200 copies/ml, 1 (5.3%) had experienced virologic rebound, whilst 2 (10.5%) had detectable viral loads (70 and 204) at their last test.

Conclusions

• Barriers to asymptomatic STI screening during routine HIV clinic reviews need to be identified to improve the rates of screening in HIV positive MSM.

• Systems put in place to improve syphilis serology testing have been successful – new approaches to pharyngeal, urine and rectal screening have been initiated in 2016.

• There were no significant delays in the laboratory diagnosis, or the time to treatment of STIs diagnosed through asymptomatic STI screening in the majority of patients.

• The major barrier to earlier treatment was the inability to contact patients. This study has prompted a review of patient contact detail registration at The Alfred Hospital’s Infectious Diseases Clinic.