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IN BRIEF

THE POWER OF GLOBAL GENDER PARITY

Narrowing the global gender gap in work would not only be equitable in the broadest sense but could double the contribution of women to global GDP growth between 2014 and 2025. Delivering that impact, however, will require tackling gender equality in society.

- MGI has mapped 15 gender equality indicators for 95 countries and finds that 40 of them have high or extremely high levels of gender inequality on at least half of the indicators. The indicators fall into four categories: equality in work, essential services and enablers of economic opportunity, legal protection and political voice, and physical security and autonomy.

- We consider a “full-potential” scenario in which women participate in the economy identically to men, and find that it would add up to $28 trillion, or 26 percent, to annual global GDP in 2025 compared with a business-as-usual scenario. This impact is roughly equivalent to the size of the combined US and Chinese economies today. We also analyzed an alternative “best-in-region” scenario in which all countries match the rate of improvement of the best-performing country in their region. This would add as much as $12 trillion in annual 2025 GDP, equivalent in size to the current GDP of Japan, Germany, and the United Kingdom combined, or twice the likely growth in global GDP contributed by female workers between 2014 and 2025 in a business-as-usual scenario.

- Both advanced and developing countries stand to gain. In 46 of the 95 countries analyzed, the best-in-region outcome could increase annual GDP in 2025 by more than 10 percent over the business-as-usual case, with the highest relative boost in India and Latin America.

- MGI’s new Gender Parity Score, or GPS, measures the distance each country has traveled toward gender parity, which is set at 1.00. The regional GPS is lowest in South Asia (excluding India) at 0.44 and highest in North America and Oceania at 0.74. Using the GPS, MGI has established a strong link between gender equality in society, attitudes and beliefs about the role of women, and gender equality in work. The latter is not achievable without the former two elements. We found virtually no countries with high gender equality in society but low gender equality in work. Economic development enables countries to close gender gaps, but progress in four areas in particular—education level, financial and digital inclusion, legal protection, and unpaid care work—could help accelerate progress.

- MGI has identified ten “impact zones” (issue-region combinations) where effective action would move more than 75 percent of women affected by gender inequality globally closer to parity. The global impact zones are blocked economic potential, time spent in unpaid care work, fewer legal rights, political underrepresentation, and violence against women, globally pervasive issues. The regional impact zones are low labor-force participation in quality jobs, low maternal and reproductive health, unequal education levels, financial and digital exclusion, and girl-child vulnerability, concentrated in certain regions of the world.

- Six types of intervention are necessary to bridge the gender gap: financial incentives and support; technology and infrastructure; the creation of economic opportunity; capability building; advocacy and shaping attitudes; and laws, policies, and regulations. We identify some 75 potential interventions that could be evaluated and tailored to suit the social and economic context of each impact zone and country.

- Tackling gender inequality will require change within businesses as well as new coalitions. The private sector will need to play a more active role in concert with governments and non-governmental organizations—and companies could benefit both directly and indirectly by taking action.
The economic case for gender parity

$28 trillion of additional annual GDP in 2025 in the full-potential scenario of bridging the gender gap...

...equivalent to the combined US and China economies today.

$12 trillion could be added in 2025 if all countries matched their best-in-region country in progress toward gender parity.

Equal to 2x the likely contribution of women to global GDP growth in the business-as-usual scenario

McKinsey Global Institute’s Gender Parity Score points to where 95 countries stand on gender parity.

These countries, grouped into 10 regions, are home to 93% of the world’s female population.

We linked economic potential to 15 outcome-based indicators in 4 categories...

...and identified the largest concentrations of gender gaps around the world to prioritize for action.

10 impact zones, covering >75% of women affected by gender inequality globally

Our research for the first time links gender equality in society with gender equality in work. The latter is not possible without the former.

¹ Oceania = Australia and New Zealand.
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Gender inequality is not only a pressing moral and social issue but also a critical economic challenge. If women—who account for half the world’s population—do not achieve their full economic potential, the global economy will suffer.

While all types of inequality have economic consequences, in this research, we focus on the economic implications of lack of parity between men and women. Even after decades of progress toward making women equal partners with men in the economy and society, the gap between them remains large. We acknowledge that gender parity in economic outcomes (such as participation in the workforce or presence in leadership positions) is not necessarily a normative ideal as it involves human beings making personal choices about the lives they lead; we also recognize that men can be disadvantaged relative to women in some instances. However, we believe that the world, including the private sector, would benefit by focusing on the large economic opportunity of improving parity between men and women.

In this report, MGI explores the economic potential available if the global gender gap were to be closed. The research finds that, in a full-potential scenario in which women play an identical role in labor markets to men’s, as much as $28 trillion, or 26 percent, could be added to global annual GDP in 2025. This estimate is double that of other studies’ estimations, reflecting the fact that MGI has taken a more comprehensive view of gender inequality in work.

Attaining parity in the world of work is not realistic in the short term. Doing so would imply not only the reduction of formidable barriers and change in social attitudes but also personal choices about how to allocate time between domestic and market-based work. However, if all countries were to match the progress toward gender parity of the best performer in their region, it could produce a boost to annual global GDP of as much as $12 trillion in 2025. This would double the GDP growth contributed by female workers in the business-as-usual scenario.

Our analysis maps 15 gender equality indicators for 95 countries that are home to 93 percent of the world’s female population and generate 97 percent of global GDP. It finds that 40 of the 95 countries have extremely high or high levels of inequality on half or more of the 15 indicators. These indicators cover not only gender equality in work but also physical, social, political, and legal gender equality. We believe that this is the most comprehensive mapping of gender equality to date. And, for the first time, we have established a clear link between gender equality in society and in work through a new MGI tool called the Gender Parity Score, or GPS, which gives us a view of the distance that individual countries have traveled toward gender parity. Realizing the economic prize of gender parity requires the world to address fundamental drivers of the gap in work equality, such as education, health, connectivity, security, and the role of women in unpaid work.

To help policy makers, business leaders, and other stakeholders prioritize action in a global effort to close the gender gap, MGI has also identified ten impact zones of gender inequality. Across the impact zones, this report offers a six-part framework of types of intervention that are most likely to deliver change, and it discusses some of the factors that have made gender initiatives around the world successful, as well as the private sector’s opportunity to take the lead in defining initiatives.
FULLY CLOSING GENDER GAPS IN WORK WOULD ADD AS MUCH AS $28 TRILLION TO ANNUAL GDP IN 2025, WHILE ACHIEVING “BEST-IN-REGION” RATES OF PROGRESS WOULD ADD $12 TRILLION

Women in the 95 countries analyzed in this research generate 37 percent of global GDP today despite accounting for 50 percent of the global working-age population. This global average contribution to GDP masks large variations among regions. The share of regional output generated by women is only 17 percent in India, 18 percent in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA), and 24 percent in South Asia (excluding India). In North America and Oceania, China, and Eastern Europe and Central Asia, the share is 40 to 41 percent.

Women are half the world’s working-age population but generate only 37% of GDP.

The lower representation of women in paid work is in contrast to their higher representation in unpaid work. Seventy-five percent of the world’s total unpaid care is undertaken by women, including the vital tasks that keep households functioning such as child care, caring for the elderly, cooking, and cleaning. However, this contribution is not counted in traditional measures of GDP. Using conservative assumptions, we estimate that unpaid work being undertaken by women today amounts to as much as $10 trillion of output per year, roughly equivalent to 13 percent of global GDP.

MGI’s full-potential scenario assumes that women participate in the world of work to an identical extent as men—erasing the current gaps in labor-force participation rates, hours worked, and representation within each sector (which affects their productivity). It represents the maximum economic impact that could be achieved from gender equality in labor markets. We find that the full-potential scenario could add as much as $28 trillion to annual GDP in 2025, raising global economic output by 26 percent over a business-as-usual scenario (Exhibit E1). This potential impact is roughly equivalent to the combined size of the economies of the United States and China today.

The full-potential scenario sees the global average participation rate by women of prime working age rise from its current level of 64 percent to 95 percent. However, this is unlikely to materialize within a decade; the barriers hindering women from participating on a par with men are unlikely to be fully addressed within that time frame, and, in any case, participation is ultimately a matter of personal choice. For these reasons, we also consider another scenario.

MGI also assesses the size of the opportunity if each country were to bridge its gender gaps at the same rate as the fastest-improving country in its regional peer group. Countries in Western Europe, for instance, would close the gap in labor participation between men and women of prime working age by 1.5 percentage points a year, in line with the experience of Spain between 2003 and 2013. Countries in Latin America would do so at Chile’s annual rate of 1.9 percentage points, while countries in East and Southeast Asia would do so at Singapore’s rate of 1.1 percentage points a year. At these rates of progress, global average labor-force participation rates for this age cohort would reach 74 percent by 2025, or about ten percentage points higher than at present.
In this best-in-region scenario, global GDP could increase by as much as $12 trillion annually in 2025, realizing some 42 percent of the opportunity outlined in the full-potential scenario. This is equivalent to the current GDP of Japan, Germany, and the United Kingdom combined, or 1.0 percent incremental GDP growth per year relative to business-as-usual forecasts. This $12 trillion of incremental GDP represents a doubling of the output likely to be contributed by female workers globally between 2014 and 2025 in the business-as-usual scenario.

Exhibit E1

Closing the global gender gap could deliver $12 trillion to $28 trillion of additional GDP in 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global GDP opportunity</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014 $ trillion</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 2014 GDP</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business-as-usual growth¹</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental best-in-region GDP in 2025</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional GDP in full-potential scenario in 2025</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 2025 full-potential GDP</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$28 trillion

11% 15% 26%

Incremental GDP above 2025 business-as-usual

1 Represents difference between annual GDP in 2014 and in 2025 for the business-as-usual scenario.
NOTE: Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

SOURCE: ILO; World Input-Output Database; Oxford Economics; IHS; national statistical agencies; McKinsey Global Growth Model; McKinsey Global Institute analysis
McKinsey Global Institute:

Executive summary

MGI’s estimate of the maximum gender parity prize in the full-potential scenario is twice as large as the average of several other estimates.1 Many of these studies focus exclusively on labor-force participation, but we assess the potential impact from closing the gap on two other dimensions as well.

First, women do not participate in the labor force in the same numbers as men; increasing the labor-force participation of women accounts for 54 percent of potential incremental GDP. Second, women work fewer hours than men (in the labor force) because many are in part-time jobs; this could be driven partly by choice and partly by their inability to do full-time work given family- and home-based responsibilities. Closing this gap would generate 23 percent of the GDP opportunity. Third, women are disproportionately represented in lower-productivity sectors such as agriculture and insufficiently represented in higher-productivity sectors such as business services. Shifting women into work in higher-productivity sectors on a par with the employment pattern of men would contribute another 23 percent of the total opportunity.2

The importance of these three drivers varies among regions. In India and the MENA region, boosting female labor-force participation would contribute 90 and 85 percent, respectively, of the total additional economic opportunity. In sub-Saharan Africa and in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, where women already participate in large numbers, about 40 to 45 percent of the potential increase in output could come from shifting women into higher-productivity sectors. In Western Europe, about 50 percent of the full-potential impact would come from closing the gap between men and women in number of hours worked.

Both advanced and developing economies would stand to gain. The full-potential scenario would increase annual GDP in 2025 by more than 20 percent over a business-as-usual case for 74 of the 95 countries analyzed. Our analysis suggests that the highest potential boost could be in India, the rest of South Asia, and MENA at 60 percent, 48 percent, and 47 percent, respectively (Exhibit E2). Even advanced economies that have already made significant progress in reducing gender inequality could achieve a significant economic boost from closing the gender gap. Western Europe, for instance, could increase annual GDP by 23 percent, and North America and Oceania by 19 percent.

In the best-in-region scenario, all regions could achieve at least 8 percent in incremental GDP over a business-for-usual case.3 In 46 of the 95 countries analyzed, the impact could be more than 10 percent of annual GDP in 2025 compared with business as usual. The biggest relative scope to add GDP is in India at 16 percent, followed by Latin America with 14 percent, and China and sub-Saharan Africa, each with 12 percent. North America and Oceania together have the largest absolute GDP potential, at $3.1 trillion in 2025.

---

1 Most other research has examined the impact of bridging the gap in labor-force participation between men and women and found it could boost GDP by between 5 percent and 20 percent for most countries. Some research has also looked at other dimensions, including, for instance, achieving gender parity in entrepreneurship positions and in education. We believe our effort is the first study to look comprehensively across the three dimensions of labor-force participation, hours worked, and the sector mix of employment of men and women, and to do so across a sample of 95 countries. See, for example, Kevin Daly, Gender inequality, growth, and global ageing, Goldman Sachs Global Economics paper number 154, April 2007; David Cuberes and Marc Teignier, Gender gaps in the labor market and aggregate productivity, Sheffield Economic Research paper number 2012017, June 2012; O. Thévenon et al., Effects of reducing gender gaps in education and labour force participation on economic growth in the OECD, OECD Social, Employment and Migration working paper number 138, December 2012; and David Dollar and Roberta Gatti, Gender inequality, income and growth: Are good times good for women? World Bank Policy Research Report on Gender and Development working paper number 1, May 1999.

2 Our approach models the labor supply to help establish a GDP aspiration from increased participation by women. We do not take into account demand-side factors that could influence the ability to create jobs to absorb additional female workers.

3 Countries were grouped by region for the most part. India and China were considered as separate regions because of the size of their populations. We grouped North America and Oceania together given their relatively similar performance on gender equality indicators.
comes in next at $2.5 trillion, and Western Europe follows with $2.1 trillion of potential GDP increase in 2025.

Exhibit E2

All regions have a substantial incremental GDP opportunity from bridging the gender gap

Global GDP opportunity, 2025
Incremental 2025 GDP to 2025 business-as-usual scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Full-potential scenario</th>
<th>2014 $ trillion</th>
<th>Best-in-region scenario</th>
<th>2014 $ trillion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia (excluding India)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East and North Africa</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East and Southeast Asia (excluding China)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe and Central Asia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America and Oceania</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

SOURCE: ILO; World Input-Output Database; Oxford Economics; IHS; national statistical agencies; McKinsey Global Growth Model; McKinsey Global Institute analysis

These estimates assume that there is no decline in male participation in response to the rising number of women in the workforce. Between 1980 and 2010, across 60 countries, the rate of labor-force participation for women of prime working age rose by 19.7 percentage points (based on a simple average), while the corresponding male labor-force participation rate fell by 1.5 percentage points. The gains from higher female participation were negated to a very small extent by men withdrawing from the workforce. Assuming the male participation rate does not shrink, the best-in-region scenario would increase the world’s employed labor force by some 240 million workers in 2025 over the business-as-usual scenario.

The entry of more women into the labor force would be of significant benefit to countries with aging populations that face pressure on their pools of labor and therefore, potentially, on their GDP growth. In Russia, for instance, our analysis indicates that the labor force is projected to shrink from 76 million in 2014 to 71 million in 2025, primarily due to aging. The best-in-region scenario would produce a milder decline to 74 million. In Japan, we expect the labor force to shrink to 63 million by 2025 from 65 million in 2014; in a best-in-region scenario, the labor force would be 64 million.
Beyond narrowing the gap in labor-force participation, the best-in-region scenario assumes that the gap between average female and male labor productivity narrows from 13 percent to 3 percent within a decade as more women shift out of agriculture and into higher productivity industry and service sector jobs. In this scenario, the share of global employment in agriculture would shrink by a further 2.0 percentage points over the 5.6 percentage point decline likely in the business-as-usual scenario, with larger shifts in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia (excluding India). To maintain the global share of agricultural GDP at about 4.5 percent in 2025, as in the business-as-usual scenario, agricultural productivity would need to rise. Globally, we estimate that agricultural productivity growth would need to increase from 4.4 percent per year in the business-as-usual scenario to 4.9 percent in the best-in-region scenario.

Achieving this scenario would require investment—including productivity-boosting investment in an agricultural sector shedding workers, and job-creating investment in the industrial and services sectors that are absorbing additional workers. For example, MGI estimates that the incremental investment required in 2025 could be $3 trillion, or roughly 11 percent higher than in the business-as-usual scenario. Governments would also need to address barriers inhibiting productive job creation and human capital formation—not just for women, but for their overall economies.

THREE ELEMENTS—GENDER EQUALITY IN SOCIETY, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND A SHIFT IN ATTITUDES—ARE NEEDED TO ACHIEVE THE FULL POTENTIAL OF WOMEN IN THE WORKFORCE

There is a compelling—and potentially achievable—case for the world to bridge gender gaps in work equality. Three elements are essential for achieving the full potential of gender parity: gender equality in society, economic development, and a shift in attitudes.

Gender inequality at work is mirrored by gender inequality in society

The economic size of the gender gap is only part of a larger divide that affects society. Therefore, any analysis of gender inequality and how to tackle it needs to include both economic and social aspects. With this in mind, MGI’s gender equality framework has 15 indicators on four dimensions (Exhibit E3).

The first dimension is gender equality in work, which includes the ability of women to engage in paid work and to share unpaid work more equitably with men, to have the skills and opportunity to perform higher-productivity jobs, and to occupy leading positions in the economy. This dimension is driven by the choices men and women make about the lives they lead and the work they do. The next three dimensions—essential services and enablers of economic opportunity, legal protection and political voice, and physical security and autonomy—relate to fundamentals of social equality. They are necessary to ensure that women (and men) have the opportunity to build human capital and the resources and ability to live a life of their own making. We refer to these three dimensions collectively as gender equality in society, a term that embraces issues that are important from a moral or humanitarian standpoint and affect many women—for instance, the more than one million girls not born each year due to sex-selective abortion.
million girls who are not born each year due to sex-selective abortion, and the two-thirds of the world’s illiterate adults who are women. Gender equality in society is intrinsically a worthwhile goal, but it is also vital for achieving gender equality in work.

Despite progress in many parts of the world, gaps in both gender equality in society and gender equality in work remain significant and multidimensional. Our analysis finds that 40 of the 95 countries analyzed have high or extremely high levels of inequality on half or more of the 15 indicators for which data were available. Gender inequality remains extremely high or high in several areas, namely almost all aspects of work, maternal mortality, issues of legal protection and political voice, and violence against women (Exhibit E4).7

7 For most indicators, low inequality is defined as being within 5 percent of parity, medium between 5 percent and 25 percent, high inequality between 25 percent and 50 percent, and extremely high inequality as greater than 50 percent from parity. For physical security and autonomy indicators, we defined extremely high inequality as greater than 33 percent distance from no prevalence (of child marriage or violence against women). For sex ratio at birth and maternal mortality, given the different range of values for these two indicators, slightly different thresholds were used.
Exhibit E4

More than half of the 15 indicators point to extremely high or high levels of inequality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of gender inequality</th>
<th>Extremely high</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor-force participation rate</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and technical jobs</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived wage gap for similar work</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership positions</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid care work</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmet need for family planning</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal mortality per 100,000 births</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial inclusion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital inclusion</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal protection Index</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political representation</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio at birth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child marriage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence against women</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average score across countries, weighted by 2014 female population**

- Labor-force participation rate: 0.658
- Professional and technical jobs: 0.990
- Perceived wage gap for similar work: 0.558
- Leadership positions: 0.356
- Unpaid care work: 0.326
- Unmet need for family planning: 11.7%
- Maternal mortality per 100,000 births: 135
- Education level: 0.887
- Financial inclusion: 0.772
- Digital inclusion: 0.844
- Legal protection Index: 0.502
- Political representation: 0.217
- Sex ratio at birth: 1.086
- Child marriage: 11.5%
- Violence against women: 29.6%

**NOTE:** Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

**SOURCE:** McKinsey Global Institute analysis
Equality in work. Gender gaps in the world of work remain high or extremely high on four out of five indicators. Women make up 40 percent of the global labor force despite a 50 percent share of the working-age population and a 46 to 47 percent share of the labor force in regions such as Western Europe, North America and Oceania, and Eastern Europe and Central Asia. There are extremely high or high gaps in 21 of the 78 countries analyzed on the share of women vs. men in professional and technical jobs. Perceived wage disparity for similar work remains a significant issue, although this gender gap is difficult to prove conclusively. World Economic Forum surveys of business leaders find a widespread perception that women earn less than men for equivalent work in all 87 countries in our data set for which data are available. International Labour Organisation data find that men are almost three times as likely as women to hold leadership positions as legislators, senior officials, and managers. Women spend three times as many hours in unpaid care work as men; in India and Pakistan, women spend nearly ten times as many hours as men in such activity.

Essential services and enablers of economic opportunity. We assess this dimension in terms of women’s access to health care (represented by reproductive and maternal health), education, financial services, and digital connectivity. Unmet need for family planning is a medium inequality issue in 82 of the 94 countries analyzed. 197 million women globally who want to stop or delay having children are nevertheless not using contraception. Maternal health has improved in many parts of the world, but maternal mortality is a source of extremely high or high inequality in 42 countries in our set of 95. The gender gap in education has narrowed in many regions, but women still attain less than 75 percent of the educational levels of men in 17 of the 95 countries studied. Globally, some 195 million fewer adult women than men are literate. The world’s women still have only 77 percent of the access that men have to financial services, on average, and only 84 percent of the access of men to the Internet and mobile phones.

Legal protection and political voice. Legal protection for women has improved, but there is further to go. Our analysis finds that 38 out of 91 countries for which we have data have extremely high inequality on this indicator, a blended measure of 11 forms of legal protection for women, spanning laws to protect individuals against violence, ensure parity in inheriting property and accessing institutions, and the right to find work and be fairly compensated. Globally, political participation by women remains very low, with the number of women in ministerial and parliamentary roles only 22 percent that of men. Even in developed economies—and democracies—such as the United Kingdom and the United States, the share of women in such positions is still only 24 percent and 34 percent, respectively. One cross-country study found that greater representation of women in parliaments led to higher expenditure on education as a share of GDP.8 In India, women’s leadership in local politics has been found to reduce corruption.9

Physical security and autonomy. We assess this dimension in terms of three indicators: missing women arising from the preference for a boy child, child marriage, and violence against women. The sex ratio at birth is a source of low inequality globally, but it is a severe issue in a few countries where, by our estimate, about 1.5 million girls are not born each year because of selective abortions that favor male children.10 That number is roughly equal to the number of deaths worldwide due to hypertensive heart disease or diabetes. Globally, an estimated 36 million girls marry between the ages of 15 and 19, limiting the degree to which they can receive an education and participate

---

8 Li-Ju Chen, Female policymakers and educational expenditures: Cross-country evidence, January 2009.
9 Esther Duflo and Petia Topalova, Unappreciated service: Performance, perceptions, and women: Leaders in India, MIT economic faculty paper, October 2004.
10 Based on MGI calculations. Other research from the World Bank has estimated that there are 3.9 million missing women globally each year, of which two-fifths (or 1.56 million) are due to sex-selective abortions. See World development report 2012: Gender equality and development, World Bank, September 2011.
Nearly 30 percent of women worldwide, or 723 million women, have been the victims of violence, as measured by MGI’s indicator of violence from an intimate partner.

**Economic development will help, but specific action in four areas is necessary to achieve gender equality at work more quickly**

To understand the relationships between gender equality indicators as well as the role of economic development, we analyzed the correlations between different gender equality indicators across 95 countries and with indicators of overall economic development such as per capita GDP and urbanization. We acknowledge that correlation is not the same as causation. In many cases, the indicators may have mutually reinforcing rather than cause-and-effect relationships. Nevertheless, the correlation analysis is a useful tool for identifying potential areas of synergy and focus in the vast gender equality landscape.

The correlation analysis suggests that per capita GDP and urbanization are linked strongly with virtually all aspects of gender equality in society (Exhibit E5). Economic development can create momentum toward a further narrowing of gender gaps, provided countries use the dividend of higher GDP growth to boost investment in inclusive social spending and urbanization.

Achieving gender equality through economic development is, however, a slow process, and economic development does not have a decisive impact on equality in work and on many broader gender equality indicators. For instance, violence against women does tend to be lower in more developed countries, but prevalence is still high. Similarly, the global average maternal mortality rate decreased from 276 deaths per 100,000 live births in 1995 to 135 in 2013; at this rate of decline, however, the rate will still be as high as 84 deaths in 2025. Moreover, economic development has a more nuanced relationship with labor-force participation; female labor-participation rates dip in middle-income countries and rise again in more advanced economies. This reflects a combination of cultural barriers and personal preferences as the opportunity cost of women working changes compared with the cost of caring for children and the elderly.

The correlation analysis suggests that acting to make improvements on four areas appears to be the most promising route to accelerating gender equality in work: education level, financial and digital inclusion (we consider these together as the delivery models for financing are closely tied with digital channels), legal protection, and unpaid care work. Apart from being closely linked to equality in work, they also lay the groundwork for improvements in access to health care, physical security, and political participation. Putting energy, effort, and resources into these four areas is likely to generate far-reaching impact and social change.

---

12 Based on a weighted average across a 95-country sample using the female population in 2014.
Gender equality in society is correlated with economic development, and gender equality in work with key social enablers and unpaid care work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic development</th>
<th>Gender equality in society</th>
<th>Gender equality in work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Per capita GDP&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C. Sex ratio at birth</td>
<td>M. Labor-force participation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Urbanization</td>
<td>D. Child marriage</td>
<td>N. Professional and technical jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Violence against women</td>
<td>O. Perceived wage gap for similar work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Unmet need for family planning</td>
<td>P. Leadership positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G. Maternal mortality</td>
<td>Q. Unpaid care work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H. Education level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. Financial inclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Digital inclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K. Legal protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. Political representation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation coefficient (r)**

- **Strong relationship**
  \[ r \geq 0.67 \text{ or } r \leq -0.67, \]  statistically significant with p-value < 0.1
- **Moderate relationship**
  \[ 0.33 \leq r < 0.67 \text{ or } -0.67 < r \leq -0.33, \]  statistically significant with p-value < 0.1
- **Slight relationship**
  \[ -0.33 < r < 0.33, \]  statistically significant p-value < 0.1
- **Relationship not significant**
  p-value \( \geq 0.1 \)

**Significant sets of interlinked indicators**

Note: Extreme variables beyond +/- 2 standard deviations of mean were trimmed before calculating correlation. Correlation coefficient labels rounded to two decimal places. Color coding based on actual, not rounded, values.

---

<sup>1</sup> Log of per capita GDP used for correlations.

**SOURCE:** McKinsey Global Institute analysis
- **Education level.** Gender equality in educational attainment has a moderate or strong correlation with three out of five work equality indicators and several indicators of gender equality in society. Women who enjoy parity in education are more likely to share unpaid work with men more equitably, to work in professional and technical occupations, and to assume leadership roles. Narrower gender gaps in educational attainment are strongly correlated with the status of girls and women in the family, measured by the prevalence of child marriage and violence against women. Higher education and skills training raise women’s labor participation. Keeping girls in school for longer provides a space to help educate them about their rights and their health, and helps to make headway on child marriage, family planning, maternal health, and sex-selective abortion.

- **Financial and digital inclusion.** Gender parity in access to the Internet and mobile phones, and parity in access to financial services each show moderate correlations with multiple indicators of work equality. In particular, access to the Internet and mobile phones and financial inclusion are especially linked to the presence of women in leadership roles and time spent in unpaid care work. As the global economy becomes more digital and more interconnected, the Internet has evolved into an essential tool for job searching, networking, conducting business, receiving and making payments for trade with buyers and suppliers, and receiving microcredit. Yet, based on an MGI study, some 4.4 billion people, 52 percent of them women, are offline. MGI estimates that more than 3.5 billion citizens in developing economies are expected to have Internet access by 2025, more than two billion of them via mobile services. If women were to share equally in this wave of expansion and adoption, the implications for their work equality could be very significant.\(^\text{13}\)

- **Legal protection.** Legal provisions outlining and guaranteeing the rights of women as full members of society show a moderate correlation with four out of five work equality indicators and several indicators of gender equality in society, including violence against women, child marriage, unmet need for family planning, and education. Other researchers have also highlighted the link between equality in legal provisions and the increased labor-force participation rate of women.\(^\text{14}\)

- **Unpaid care work.** The share of women engaged in unpaid work relative to men has a high correlation with female labor-force participation rates and a moderate correlation with their chances of assuming leadership positions and participating in professional and technical jobs. Unpaid work by women also shows strong to moderate correlation with education levels, financial and digital inclusion, and legal protection. Based on analysis of Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) data, some 61 percent of unpaid care work is routine household work such as cooking, cleaning, collecting water and firewood, home maintenance, and gardening. Other types of work intrinsic to the family unit are caring for children and aging relatives. Such work may be done willingly and contribute to personal and family well-being. However, some of it could be reduced or eliminated through improved infrastructure and automation, shared more equitably by male and female members of the household, or converted into paid jobs, including through state-funded or market-driven care services. It should be noted that some of these interventions would result in higher GDP to the extent that time saved by women is used for paid work. Beyond GDP, there could be other positive effects. For instance, more women could be financially independent, and there may be intergenerational benefits for the children of earning mothers. In one study of

---

\(^{13}\) *Disruptive technologies: Advances that will transform life, business, and the global economy*, McKinsey Global Institute, May 2013.

24 countries, daughters of working mothers were more likely to be employed, have higher earnings, and hold supervisory roles.\textsuperscript{15}

To supplement the correlation analysis, MGI calculated a Gender Parity Score using the 15 indicators to measure how far each country is from full gender parity. The GPS weights each indicator equally and calculates an aggregate measure at the country level of how close women are to gender parity in each of the 95 countries, where a GPS of 1.00 indicates parity. We also calculate GPS for subgroups of indicators, specifically comparing GPS on work equality indicators with the GPS on indicators relating to equality in society. This enables what, to our knowledge, is the first comparison of the interplay between the economic and social dimensions of the gender gap.

Broadly speaking, an increase in gender equality in society is linked with an increase in gender equality in work. While absolute scores on gender equality in society tend to be higher than those of gender equality in work for most countries, virtually no country has high gender equality in society and low equality in work (Exhibit E6).

**Exhibit E6**

**Gender equality in society is linked with gender equality in work**

Per capita GDP levels, 2014 purchasing-power-parity international dollar

\[ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{<5,000} & \text{5,000–10,000} & \text{10,000–15,000} & \text{15,000–25,000} & \text{25,000–50,000} & \text{>50,000} \\
\end{array} \]

**Gender Parity Score: Gender equality in work (parity = 1.00)\textsuperscript{1}**

- **Group 1**: Relatively gender-equal on both dimensions
  - Example countries: France, Germany, Norway

- **Group 2**: Relatively high equality in work
  - Example countries: Ethiopia, Nigeria, Thailand

- **Group 3**: Relatively low gender equality on both dimensions
  - Example countries: Egypt, India, United Arab Emirates

**Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.51**

**Gender Parity Score: Gender equality in society (parity = 1.00)\textsuperscript{2}**

\[ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{<5,000} & \text{5,000–10,000} & \text{10,000–15,000} & \text{15,000–25,000} & \text{25,000–50,000} & \text{>50,000} \\
\end{array} \]

1. Labor-force participation rate, professional and technical jobs, perceived wage gap for similar work, leadership positions, unpaid care work.
2. Essential services and enablers of economic opportunity, legal protection and political voice, physical security and autonomy.

**SOURCE**: McKinsey Global Institute analysis

Countries in Group 1 are relatively gender-equal on both dimensions, although even they have scope to improve their GPS on gender equality in society and in work. Countries in Group 2 have achieved relatively high gender equality in work, as women’s participation in the labor force is high. But many women in these economies are engaged in near-subsistence agriculture or low-value-adding jobs, and may lack the wherewithal to rise beyond the initial rungs of the work ladder. Countries in Group 3 are characterized by low gender equality in both work and society. In many countries across these groups, a lack of skills and cultural norms could constrain the roles available to women.

**Shifts in deep-seated attitudes and beliefs would be necessary to address gender inequality at work**

Even relatively equal societies still have significant gender gaps. This reflects the fact that cultural attitudes play a strong role in influencing the status of women in society and in work. Attitudes among both men and women shape the level of gender parity considered appropriate or desirable within each society. For example, demographic and health surveys find that women believe that arguing with their husbands, refusing to have sex, burning food, or going out without telling the husband are all justifiable reasons for domestic violence.16

MGI has analyzed the World Values Survey and data from the OECD and found a strong link between attitudes that limit women’s potential and the actual gender equality outcomes in a given region. For instance, the survey asked respondents, both men and women, whether they agreed with the following statements: “When jobs are scarce, men should have more right to a job than women” and “When a mother works for pay, the children suffer.” We examined the responses against outcomes relating to equality in work and found strong correlations with both. More than half of the respondents in South Asia and MENA agreed with both statements—and these regions have some of the world’s lowest rates of women’s labor-force participation. These beliefs persist even in a sizable proportion of respondents in developed countries.

**THE DISTANCE FROM GENDER PARITY VARIES FOR DIFFERENT COUNTRIES**

MGI’s GPS scoring system enables us to gauge the distance countries have traveled toward gender parity and therefore the size of the gap that individual countries would need to bridge to achieve parity. MGI calculated a GPS for each country and for each region, weighting country scores on the size of the female population in each country in a particular region, where a GPS of 1.00 indicates full parity. We also calculated GPS for individual dimensions of gender equality such as essential services and enablers of economic opportunity, and physical security and autonomy, as well as for groups of indicators in the categories of equality in work and equality in society.

The world’s performance on closing the gender gap appears poor when MGI’s comprehensive lens of 15 indicators is used. By MGI’s Gender Parity Score based on 15 indicators, 12 countries (Bangladesh, Chad, Egypt, India, Iran, Mali, Niger, Oman, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, and Yemen) have closed less than 50 percent of the gender gap. The regional GPS is lowest—meaning that this region has the furthest to travel to achieve gender parity—in South Asia (excluding India) at 0.44, and highest in North America and Oceania at 0.74 (Exhibit E7).

---

Regions have distinct levels and patterns of gender equality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>North America and Oceania</th>
<th>Western Europe</th>
<th>Eastern Europe and Central Asia</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>East and South-east Asia (excluding China)</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Sub-Saharan Africa</th>
<th>Middle East and North Africa</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>South Asia (excluding India)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate GPS¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All GPS calculations are conducted using a sum of squares method with equal weighting across indicators. For all categories, color coding is in line with impact zones. Color coding for aggregate GPS is based on thresholds for majority of indicators.

2. Comprising unmet need for family planning and maternal mortality.

NOTE: Numbers are rounded to two decimal places. Color coding is based on actual, not rounded, values.

SOURCE: McKinsey Global Institute analysis
Some of the findings of the GPS analysis may be surprising. Women in South Asia (excluding India) have a higher per capita GDP of about $4,340 on a 2014 purchasing power parity basis than those in sub-Saharan Africa, whose average per capita GDP is $3,680, but they face higher gender inequality than women in sub-Saharan Africa. Chinese women have about the same access to essential services as women in developed economies, but have higher gender gaps in aspects of equality in work. Women in Western Europe and the North America and Oceania region are the closest to gender parity in all ten regions. But women in Western Europe tend to have higher political participation and somewhat higher physical security, while women in North America tend to be more empowered on virtually all dimensions of work equality.

While countries’ GPS tends to be largely in line with that of their region, economic, cultural, and political factors drive significant differences within regions (Exhibit E8). For instance, some countries in sub-Saharan Africa (Chad, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea, Mali, and Niger) have significantly higher gaps on essential services and enablers of economic opportunity relative to other sub-Saharan African countries, including Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Women in Austria, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Portugal, and the United Kingdom have lower political participation than those in Belgium, Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, Norway, and Sweden.

TO HELP PRIORITIZE POTENTIAL ACTION, MGI HAS IDENTIFIED TEN “IMPACT ZONES” THAT ACCOUNT FOR MORE THAN 75 PERCENT OF THE GLOBAL GENDER GAP

All forms of gender inequality need to be tackled, but, given the magnitude of the gap and limitations on resources, it is important for governments, foundations, and private-sector organizations to focus their efforts. In order to help them do so, MGI has identified ten “impact zones,” which reflect both the seriousness of a type of gender inequality and its geographic concentration (Exhibit E9). The global impact zones are blocked economic potential; time spent in unpaid care work; fewer legal rights; political underrepresentation; and violence against women. The regional impact zones are low labor-force participation in quality jobs; low maternal and reproductive health; unequal education levels; financial and digital exclusion; and girl-child vulnerability.

Effective action in these zones alone would move more than 75 percent of women affected by gender inequality globally closer to parity. It could help as many as 76 percent of women affected by adult literacy gaps, 72 percent of those with unequal access to financial inclusion, 60 percent of those affected by maternal mortality issues, 58 percent of those affected by child marriage, and 54 percent of women disadvantaged by unequal labor-force participation rates.

17 Regional numbers for gender equality indicators typically represent weighted averages based on 2014 female population data available from the UN. Per capita GDP is based on data from the IMF and represents values in 2014 international dollars adjusted for purchasing power parity.
Exhibit E8

Countries’ aggregate GPS tends to increase with per capita GDP

Source: McKinsey Global Institute analysis

Gender Parity Score: Aggregate score (parity = 1.00)

Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.45

NOTE: For legibility, some country labels are not shown: Argentina, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Brazil, Croatia, Czech Republic, Ecuador, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Kazakhstan, Luxembourg, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Senegal, Slovak Republic, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Tanzania, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, and Zambia.

Source: McKinsey Global Institute analysis
There are strong concentrations of gender inequality in ten “impact zones”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of gender inequality</th>
<th>Impact zones</th>
<th>East and Southeast Asia (excluding China)</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>South Asia (excluding India)</th>
<th>Middle East and North Africa</th>
<th>Sub-Saharan Africa</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>North America and Oceania</th>
<th>Eastern Europe and Central Asia</th>
<th>Western Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely high</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>671</strong></td>
<td><strong>401</strong></td>
<td><strong>612</strong></td>
<td><strong>194</strong></td>
<td><strong>191</strong></td>
<td><strong>412</strong></td>
<td><strong>276</strong></td>
<td><strong>196</strong></td>
<td><strong>181</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>401</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>612</strong></td>
<td><strong>194</strong></td>
<td><strong>191</strong></td>
<td><strong>412</strong></td>
<td><strong>276</strong></td>
<td><strong>196</strong></td>
<td><strong>181</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>612</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>194</strong></td>
<td><strong>191</strong></td>
<td><strong>412</strong></td>
<td><strong>276</strong></td>
<td><strong>196</strong></td>
<td><strong>181</strong></td>
<td><strong>212</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender equality in work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>females</th>
<th>2014 (million)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor-force participation rate (F/M ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and technical jobs (F/M ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived wage gap for similar work (F/M ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership positions (F/M ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid care work (M/F ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender equality in society**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential services and enablers of economic opportunity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unmet need for family planning (% of women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal mortality per 100,000 births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (F/M ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial inclusion (F/M ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital inclusion (F/M ratio)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legal protection and political voice**

| Legal protection (index)                               | 0.583  | 0.483  | 0.399   | 0.261   | 0.226  | 0.415   | 0.657   | 0.742  | 0.525  | 0.771 |
| Political representation (F/M ratio)                    | 0.191  | 0.156  | 0.114   | 0.152   | 0.116  | 0.310   | 0.302   | 0.346  | 0.169  | 0.486 |

**Physical security and autonomy**

| Sex ratio at birth (M/F ratio)                          | 1.170  | 1.060  | 1.108   | 1.066   | 1.049  | 1.038   | 1.049   | 1.049  | 1.061  | 1.057 |
| Child marriage (% of girls and young women)             | 2%     | 8%     | 27%     | 26%     | 12%    | 19%     | 3%      | 2%     | 3%     | 1%    |
| Violence against women (% of women)                     | 15%    | 25%    | 37%     | 44%     | 38%    | 40%     | 37%     | 33%    | 19%    | 22%   |

**NOTE:** Numbers are rounded. Color coding is based on actual, not rounded, numbers.

**SOURCE:** McKinsey Global Institute analysis
SIX TYPES OF INTERVENTION—WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR PLAYING AN ACTIVE ROLE—ARE NECESSARY TO BRIDGE THE GENDER GAP

Across the ten impact zones, MGI identified 75 interventions and more than 150 case examples around the world that have been used to narrow gender gaps, and conducted a meta-analysis of research available. We conclude that these interventions offer promising avenues to explore. It is not possible to assess the impact of all individual interventions for many reasons. Rigorous gender-disaggregated data and impact evaluations are not available for many initiatives. In many instances, the time scales involved before results can be discerned are long, and initiatives are often interrelated, complementing each other, and it is therefore not possible to disentangle the effects of one from another. We did not prioritize interventions because their impact can vary greatly depending on a country’s stage of development and culture. More analysis is required in order to tailor interventions to individual social contexts.

We have grouped them into six promising types of intervention that stakeholders could explore to address gender gaps both in work and in society. We believe these six offer a useful set of potential tools and approaches for tackling the ten impact zones. However, we do not believe that any single intervention is likely to have an impact on gender equality at a national level but rather that a comprehensive and sustained portfolio of initiatives will be required.

- **Financial incentives and support.** Financial mechanisms such as cash transfers targeting girls can help to incentivize behavioral changes within families and communities. Morocco, for instance, implemented a program of cash transfers to families for educational spending that helped reduce dropout rates by about 75 percent and increased the rates of return to school of all children who had previously dropped out by about 80 percent.18 The Nan’godi Girls Boarding School project in Kenya substitutes the traditional practice of “booking” girls for marriage with booking them for school instead; in this program, the traditional dowry of livestock or gifts to the girl’s parents is given in exchange for her going to school rather than getting married.19 The removal of tax disincentives to both partners working can also help induce higher female labor-force participation. Canada reduced the tax contribution of the second earner in a family, and this resulted in an increase in labor-force participation for women.20 Universal publicly funded or subsidized child care has been the focus of governments in some countries. For instance, the Swedish government runs subsidized child-care centers for children below the age of six. More governments could offer such financial incentives and support, but companies can play a role too, by, for instance, offering funding to school scholarship programs for girls and supporting movements in favor of the removal of tax disincentives to both partners working.

- **Technology and infrastructure.** Investment in physical infrastructure, such as providing sanitation facilities for girls in schools, can reduce the gender gap in education. Egypt’s Education Enhancement program built schools in areas with low girls’ enrollment with the aim of increasing that enrollment. India’s IT and business-process outsourcing firms are providing safe transport for women employees using vehicles with tracking devices. Digital solutions such as mobile packages targeting women, apps designed for female entrepreneurs, and mobile-based emergency services for female victims of violence can reduce gender-based barriers in access to knowledge and opportunities, and provide support to women. Examples of such solutions include Vodafone’s TecSoS handset that

---

18 Najy Benhassine et al., Turning a shove into a nudge? A “labeled cash transfer” for education, NBER working paper number 19227, July 2013.
20 Evridiki Tsounta, Why are women working so much more in Canada? An international perspective, IMF working paper number 06/92, April 2006.
alerts emergency services when a woman is subjected to violence; a single keystroke sends location details and triggers a recording of all activity near the device, information that can subsequently be used as evidence in court. Electronic kiosks in Brazil at stations on suburban rail lines that primarily serve poor communities disseminate information on support for violence survivors. Infrastructure that provides energy and water in homes, and affordable child-care centers can reduce time spend on unpaid work.

- **Creation of economic opportunity.** Opening up avenues for women to engage in productive work and entrepreneurship, and lowering barriers to their moving into positions of responsibility and leadership are areas where the private sector can play a particularly effective role. McKinsey’s extensive research on diversity in the workplace suggests that companies can set explicit goals for diversity at all levels in the organization and can implement structured workplace practices for recruitment, flexibility, family leave, leadership training, and sponsorship of women.21 One example is Vodafone, which has established global minimums of 16 weeks of fully paid maternity leave, followed by six months during which women can work flexibly for 30 hours per week on full salary.

  McKinsey’s 2013 Women Matter research also found that close to 40 percent of female respondents and 30 percent of male respondents believed that women’s leadership and communication styles are incompatible with those in the top management of their firms. This underscores the importance of establishing criteria for recruiting and reviews that are unbiased and objective, and for companies to ensure they recognize and value a broad range of leadership styles. Such workplace initiatives can help ensure continued participation of women in the workforce and also help create a robust pipeline of future women leaders.

  Companies can also offer skills-building programs tied to future job placements and employment opportunities, as retailer H&M is doing in Bangladesh for garment workers. General Electric, Saudi Aramco, and Tata Consultancy Services have established an all-female business processing center in Riyadh that also provides training for new recruits. Beyond offering employment, companies can expand women-led businesses in supply chains as the Walmart Foundation, for instance, is doing, and help female entrepreneurs access capital and business education as with Goldman Sachs’ 10,000 Women initiative.

- **Capability building.** Ensuring that girls and women receive education and training can make important contributions to tackling gender inequality. Action to ensure that education systems help to deliver capabilities among girls and women include doing more to equip girls with high-quality education, including in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), ensuring that girls have access to a broad range of life skills and vocational training and that women obtain education on maternal and reproductive health and even financial and digital literacy. Examples include the program run by nongovernmental organization (NGO) Girls Inc. in the United States, which offers after-school programming for girls that mixes socializing and peer support with math and science education, pregnancy and drug-abuse prevention, media literacy, economic literacy, and sports participation. Another example is a program in Côte d’Ivoire by the public sector and the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) that provides comprehensive sex education in schools to reduce teen pregnancy rates, and Intel’s “She will connect” program in developing countries, which develops digital literacy
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21 See McKinsey’s Women Matter research at [www.mckinsey.com/features/women_matter](http://www.mckinsey.com/features/women_matter). In addition, a McKinsey and Lean In study to be launched in September 2015 discusses what companies could do to promote organizational gender diversity, based on data on the female talent pipeline, companies’ policies and programs to support gender diversity, and an attitudinal survey about workplace gender diversity from 118 companies and 29,000 employees in North America.
through training programs, an online gaming platform, and a peer network. Capability building initiatives are also needed to train teachers, medical professionals, and law enforcement officers to deal with gender issues. One such example is the program run by the Kaiser Permanente hospital network in the United States, which trains medical staff to identify instances of violence early and in what referral and support protocols should be used.

- **Advocacy and shaping attitudes.** Attitudes and social norms have a heavy influence on gender equality issues, and these cannot easily be budged. But acting on this front is a priority through, for instance, programs to engage individuals and communities in dialogues; the promotion of role models, support, and peer groups for women; and national awareness efforts using compelling mass and social media campaigns. For example, Save the Children’s Choices program uses workshops to change gender attitudes among young people. To achieve scale on similar grass-roots initiatives, existing government infrastructure and community organizations in rural areas can be leveraged and equipped with best practice tool kits on how to drive change in social norms. One illustration is the NGO Raising Voices, which has created an online SASA! tool kit to help change attitudes toward violence against women. Attitudes and beliefs in the workplace matter too. For example, only 30 percent of women in a McKinsey survey in Europe said they believed that the evaluation system in their company treated men and women equally. Companies can pay increased attention to addressing unconscious biases in both men and women employees, particularly in hiring, retention, and promotion practices in the workforce, and create a supportive corporate culture. Insights into such biases can then be used to have an impact on broader social attitudes through companies’ public relations, marketing, and corporate social responsibility efforts. One example of such an approach is Procter & Gamble’s #SharetheLoad television campaign in India, which seeks to draw attention to the societal belief that laundry is exclusively a woman’s job.

Only 30 percent of European women surveyed by McKinsey said their company’s evaluation system was gender neutral.

- **Laws, policies, and regulations.** Governments can create a gender-neutral climate through legislation that protects the rights of women to combat issues such as violence against women, and to implement and enforce antidiscriminatory labor market policies. Brazil, for instance, enacted the Maria da Penha law, a comprehensive piece of legislation that established specialized courts for domestic and family violence and created a network of shelters and police stations. Sweden provides for 480 days of parental leave with benefits, with 60 days reserved specifically for each parent, while Belgium requires companies with more than 50 workers to analyze gender pay gaps and produce action plans to address issues. We emphasize that the design and implementation of gender-friendly laws should be undertaken carefully with the government working hand in hand with other key stakeholders, such as NGOs and the private sector, to understand the long-term implications of policy change.

---

We find that initiatives led by a single stakeholder will not be sufficient to drive change. Different players need to be actively involved, and both public- and private-sector organizations can play a vital role. Specific solutions need to be tailored based on evidence on what actually works within the cultural and economic context of each country, company, and community—and more analysis is warranted on this.

MGI’s review of interventions found that, regardless of which stakeholder implements these initiatives, a number of common factors are important for their success. Gender initiatives need to tackle deep-rooted attitudes and behavior, and achieve scale even while transforming one mind at a time. Successful programs that address gender issues work holistically and take on multiple barriers simultaneously. They work with women as partners in diagnosing problems and finding solutions, and they engage the right stakeholders, including, depending on the situation, husbands, boys, and community elders. Innovative collaborations by diverse partners can be effective, as can making use of digital technologies. Like any change program, action to tackle gender inequality needs to be tracked and measured in order to identify and codify best practice. Finally, a country’s spending on women-oriented programs will be a small share of its overall development and social budgets, and it is therefore important that overall budgets and resources are channeled in a gender-neutral way.

Many of the interventions we highlight in this research are the natural responsibility of the government. Such interventions include the enactment of laws removing barriers to women entering the workforce (such as the right of women to work night shifts), mandating protection of women in the workplace, and could even take the form of legislating quotas for the number of women as candidates for political office or on company boards. Similarly, the provision of infrastructure and basic services in a gender-friendly way, such as safe transport, sanitation facilities for girls in all schools, and establishing national plans and protocols for maternal and reproductive health and specialized courts to handle gender-based violence, is largely the domain of the government.

Many interventions can also be pursued by private companies, on their own or in partnership with government, and can be viewed as opportunities rather than a source of additional cost. Gender initiatives can deliver significant benefits for organizations in myriad ways. Boosting gender diversity within their own operations could enhance companies’ staffing and talent; research suggests that increasing the presence and responsibility of women is correlated with improved company performance, and that there is a connection between the representation of women in leadership positions and corporate returns. Focusing on women as key constituencies could help firms enhance understanding of their customer base and target women consumers better. Equal participation by women is also important given the widening skill gap in areas such as STEM. Previous MGI research has found, for example, that advanced economies face an estimated shortfall of 18 million workers with tertiary degrees by 2020 and could bridge 3.2 million of that gap by doubling
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23 One study found that having at least one female director correlated with firm returns that were higher by a compound rate of 3.7 percent a year compared with those posted by companies with no women on their boards. See Julia Dawson, Richard Kersley, and Stefano Natella, The CS Gender 3000: Women in senior management, Credit Suisse Research Institute, September 2014. McKinsey’s Women Matter research also suggests that increasing the presence and responsibility of women is correlated with improved company performance, and that firms with more than three women in top management positions scored higher than their peers on McKinsey’s Organizational Health Index. Our research indicates that women apply five of nine types of leadership behavior (e.g., role modeling and participative decision making) that are considered effective for the health of organizations more frequently than men. See, for example, Gender diversity: A corporate performance driver, Women Matter 2007, McKinsey and Company, 2007.

24 Studies have found that women are often the final decision makers on everyday household spending, and that this role grows stronger as their earnings rise. See Selamah Abdullah Yusof and Jarita Duasa, “Household decision-making and expenditure patterns of married men and women in Malaysia,” Journal of Family and Economic Issues, volume 31, issue 3, May 2010.
the historical growth in the participation rate of women of prime working age. Finally, companies can work with suppliers and distributors to promote diversity, and develop business partnerships with women-led organizations. More gender-disaggregated data collection and analysis by companies would help evaluate the returns to businesses from such investment.

CEOs and the private sector can have an impact not only on their female employees but also on participants in their supply chains, distributors, and customers, and on the broader communities in which they work. As a first step, they could implement policies within their own organizations to attract, retain, and promote the women within their firms, motivating other companies to do the same. But their role could be much broader. In many countries, workforces in the organized sector represent a small fraction of the total labor force, with most women (and men) employed in the informal sector or self-employed. Helping women in these countries become more successful business owners and business partners, suppliers, and distributors to large companies could present a win-win for communities and firms. Companies could also lend their business expertise and create offerings to support gender equality initiatives—for instance, technology companies could provide mobile apps for women, and health-care providers could help survivors of violence—and empower women through their corporate social responsibility efforts. Finally, companies could play a role in advocacy efforts and in public-private coalitions to drive change. In Germany, for instance, a group of 11 private-sector, government, media, and science and technology organizations, including McKinsey & Company, came together in an initiative called Chefsache (meaning “CEO priority”) in July 2015 under the sponsorship of the chancellor. This movement aims to drive change in social attitudes that influence whether women take leadership roles in business.

Closing the global gender gap could give the world economy a substantial boost—according to this research, potentially doubling the growth in global GDP contributed by women in the next decade. However, unless gender equality in society is addressed, those large economic benefits are unlikely to be realized. The first challenge is to understand the gender inequality landscape in sufficient detail to be able to prioritize action. The next is to use that knowledge to engineer change.

25 The world at work: Jobs, pay and skills for 3.5 billion people, McKinsey Global Institute, June 2012.
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