

The Role of Financial Incentives in Developing Hepatitis B Immunity Following Accelerated Vaccination Among People Who Inject Drugs in Sydney, Australia: Randomised Controlled Trial

Carolyn Day,¹ Marian Shanahan,² Libby Topp,³ Handan Wand,⁴ Rachel Deacon,¹ Paul Haber,^{1,5} Craig Rodgers,⁶ Ann White, Ingrid van Beek⁶ and Lisa Maher⁴ on Behalf of The Hepatitis Acceptability And Vaccine Incentives Trial (HAVIT) Study Group

¹Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney; ²National Drug & Alcohol Research Centre, UNSW; ³Cancer Council NSW; ⁴Kirby Institute, UNSW; ⁵Sydney Local Health District; ⁶Kirketon Road Centre, Sydney



HBV among PWID

- Injecting drug use is the leading exposure category for newly acquired HBV infection in Australia
- Despite a safe, effective vaccine, immunisation coverage remains low among people who inject drugs (PWID)
 - 28-59% HBV exposed (HBcAb positive)
 - 26-33% vaccinated (HBsAb \geq 10IU/mL, HBcAb -ve)
 - **14-46% susceptible**

HBV among PWID

- Excess mortality in HBV/HCV co-infection
 - Concern given 50-60% prevalence of HCV Ab among PWID
 - Universal vaccination since 2000 (infant schedule)
 - School-based catch up reaches about half
 - Opt in program (ie parental consent required)
 - Probably misses out on those most at risk - truants, early school drop out
- Ongoing need to increase immunisation coverage

Financial incentives

- Financial incentives have been used to improved immunisation rates
- Significant effects of moderate magnitude among PWID (NICE Clinical Guidelines 51 and 52)
- Financial incentives found to increase HBV vaccination completion compared to no incentives among PWID in 2_RCTs (Weaver et al Lancet 2014, Topp et al Prev Med 2013)
- Increases completion, but **unknown if it increases serological protection**

Aim

- Determine factors associated with vaccine induced HBV immunity (HBsAb \geq 10IU/ml) among a sample of PWID randomly allocated to receive a modest financial incentive or not upon receipt of an accelerated 3-dose HBV vaccination schedule (0,7,21 days)
- Hepatitis B Aceptability Vaccination Incentives Trial

Method: Study criteria

- Inclusion criteria: 16+ years, injected in last 6 months, no prior infection, \leq one previous dose vaccine **OR** unknown infection vaccination status, English language and consent
- Exclusion criteria: serological evidence of immunity, previous exposure of 2+ vaccination doses (HBsAb \geq 10IU/ml)
- Randomised 1:1 control or intervention group
- 3 dose accelerated schedule (0,7,21 days)
- Pre-test discussion and dose 1 as per standard care



Study schedule

- Baseline: serological testing to confirm eligibility → consent, randomisation and baseline questionnaires
 - \$20 Coles-Myers voucher reimbursement
- Visit 2 (+7 days): serologically eligible participants received dose 2, plus \$30 cash for incentive group
- Visit 3 (+14 days): dose 3, plus \$30 cash for incentive group
- 12 week follow up serological testing and research interview
 - \$30 reimbursement for follow up



Data analyses

- The primary endpoint for analysis was HBsAb ≥ 10 IU/mL
- Compared % participants in intervention and control groups who seroconverted to HBsAb ≥ 10 IU/mL using *Intention to treat (ITT) analyses*:
 - Included all eligible enrolled trial participants (n=139)
 - Participants unable to be followed-up assumed to be HBsAb negative at 12 weeks



Results: baseline sample characteristics

	Total (n=139)	Incentive (n=74)	Control (n=65)
Mean age (SD)	33.1 (8.4)	34.6 (8.3)	31.4 (8.2)
Male (%)	77	80	74
Site (%)			
KRC	44	42	46
REPIDU	47	31	34
HITS-c	19	18	20
ATSI (%)	12	14	10
Unstable accommod'n (%)	37	38	35
Income pension (%)	86	89	82
Literacy problems (%)	12	14	11
Current psych med (%)	36	43	28
Median years inject (range)	10 (<1-41)	11.5 (<1-41)	9 (<1-31)
Daily+ inject (%)	45	43	46



Results

- 139 participants recruited
 - 121 maintained contact long enough for 12 week serological outcomes to be obtained
- The vaccination schedule completed by
 - **66%** (43/65) control participants
 - **87%** (64/74) incentive allocated participants
 - Incentive group and duration of injecting associated with increased completion
 - Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander status associated with reduced rates of vaccine-completion



Seroconversion

- 57% (79/139) participants were HbsAb (≥ 10 IU/ml) positive at 12 weeks
- 107 (77%) participants received all three doses
 - 88 (63%) did so within the specified timeframe



Univariate analysis of factors associated with seroconversion

Variable	n =139 (%)	% seroconverted	P value
Control group	65 (47%)	62	0.294
Incentive group	74 (53%)	53	
Vaccination series completed	107 (77%)	62	0.035
Not completed	32 (23%)	41	
Completed vaccination series within scheduled time (+/-7 days)			
Within schedule	88 (63%)	58	0.726
Not within schedule	51 (37%)	55	
Anti-HCV (3 missing):			
Positive	58 (42%)	55	0.657
Negative	78 (56%)	59	
HCV RNA (n=73):			
Detected	35 (48%)	51 (18/44)	0.138
Not detected	38 (52%)	68 (26/44)	
Age (tirtiles):			
20-28 years	45 (32%)	58	0.957
29-36	48 (35%)	58	
37+	46 (33%)	54	
Male	107 (77%)	55	0.461
Female (n=31)/transgender (n=1)	32 (23%)	63	



Univariate analysis of factors associated with seroconversion (continued)

Variable	n=139 (%)	% seroconverted	P value
Identified as Aboriginal /TSI	16 (12%)	63	0.632
Did not identify as Aboriginal /TSI	121 (87%)	56	
Duration of injecting (years) (tirtiles)			
≤6 (reference)	44 (32%)	52	-
7-12	47 (34%)	64	0.265
13+	48 (35%)	54	0.856
Daily+ injecting in preceding month	62 (45%)	58	0.793
< daily injecting in preceding month	77 (55%)	56	
Currently in OST	45 (32%)	63	0.375
Not currently in OST	94 (68%)	54	
Drank 6+ standard drinks ≥ weekly preceding 12 months	46 (33%)	59	0.755
Did not 6+ standard drinks ≥ weekly	93 (67%)	56	
Currently prescribed psychiatric medication/s:			
Yes	50 (36%)	50	0.223
No	89 (64%)	61	



Multivariate analysis

Variable	n	% seroconverted	Univariate relationship	
			OR (95% CI)	p-value
Completed series	32	41		
Did not complete	107	62	2.35 (1.05-5.27)	0.035

Variable	n	% seroconverted	Multivariate relationship	
			AOR (95% CI)	p-value
Completed series	32	41		
Did not complete	107	62	2.20 (0.97-4.95)	0.058

n=139, includes 18 imputed cases



Factors associated with vaccine-induced immunity (univariate)

- **Series completion was the only factor associated with vaccine-induced immunity (p=0.035)**
- Approached significance on multivariate analysis (p=0.058)



Interactions

- **Similar proportions** of the **incentive** group seroconverted irrespective of series completion
 - Proportion seroconverted:
 - 53% completed vs 50% did NOT complete
- **More controls** who completed the series seroconverted than non-completers
 - Proportion seroconverted:
 - 74% completed vs 36% did NOT complete



Discussion

- The only factor associated with increasing vaccine-induced immunity (HBsAb ≥10IU/mL) was completion of the accelerated 3-dose HBV vaccination schedule
 - Low overall seroconversions (57%)
 - No data on the 12 month booster shot
 - Appropriate schedule?
 - **HBsAb may be inadequate measure of immune protection particularly in PWID**



Limitations and conclusion

- Study powered to detect completion not serological changes
- Data on 12 month booster unavailable
- Participants may not be representative broader population of PWID
- Social desirability bias may have veiled important covariate – reduced by use of ACASI
- **Use of incentives alone did not increase seroconversion**
- **Further work into establishing an optimal HBV vaccination schedule for PWID is necessary**



Acknowledgements

- We wish to thank all **HAVIT participants**, **Kirketon Road Centre** and **Redfern Harm Minimisation Clinic**, **HITS-c staff**, and HAVIT research assistant **Mofizul Islam**
- The Hepatitis Acceptability And Vaccine Incentives Trial (HAVIT) Study Group: Lisa Maher, Ingrid van Beek, Carolyn Day, Libby Topp, Handan Wand, Marian Shanahan, Paul Haber, Craig Rodgers, Andrew Lloyd, John Kaldor, Greg Dore, Nick Walsh
- HAVIT is funded by NHMRC Project Grant number 510104. Lisa Maher is supported by a NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship
- The Kirby Institute is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, and is affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine, The University of New South Wales. The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily represent the position of the Australian Government