# **Spitting and Mandatory BBV** Testing - dragging us back to the 1980s

Linda Forbes & Michael Frommer Policy and Communication Team Australian Federation of AIDS Organisations

Australian Federation of AIDS Organisations

# Overview

- In 2014 the SA and WA parliaments passed legislation providing for forced testing for BBVs of offenders who are considered to have potentially exposed officers to a BBV, including by spitting
- Legislation the result of concerted advocacy by police unions
- Rationale for the laws is misguided and legislation in both states is poorly framed, poorly targeted and ill-thought-out

Broad-brush scope of legislation covers both highly contagious saliva-borne viruses as well as BBVs; and covers various types of exposure to bodily fluids, ranging from saliva to blood.

What drove the introduction of these laws? What are the implementation issues? What are the policy solutions?

Authorized Technical of A DOS Organizations (Justice 15 th Common work)

### **South Australia**

- In 2012 the SA Police Association passed a resolution calling for compulsory testing of offenders for "communicable diseases", where officers have been assaulted, spat at or bitten
- In the lead-up to the 2014 SA election, the SA Police Association lobbied the Labor government

Australian Federation of AIDS Organisations



- The re-elected Labor Government fulfilled its promise, with the Criminal Law (Forensic Procedures) (Blood Testing for Diseases) Amendment Bill 2014
- The SA Opposition supported the Bill and proposed to broaden its scope
- AFAO and Gay men's Health SA wrote to the SA Attorney General, urging that the Bill be withdrawn but it was passed ....

Australian Federation of AIDS Organisations

## The South Australian legislation: the detail

The SA legislation provides for forced testing for "communicable" diseases, including BBVs where a person is "suspected of a prescribed serious offence" (e.g., assault, causing harm and serious harm), and "it is likely that a police officer came into contact with, or was otherwise exposed to, biological material of the person as a result of the suspected

Note that the trigger for forced testing is "contact with" someone's "biological material", not exposure risk. Under the legislation, a senior police officer determines whether exposure occurred and can order forced testing for BBVs.

Australian Federation of AIDS Organisations













