

Sandra Baumann-Chadwick
ONPHA Conference - November 2017

## How Lending to Non-profit Housing Works

Your lender is looking for good answers to two fundamental questions


## Lender's Valuation vs.Realtor's Valuation



Lender's valuation is based on current use of the property and current income generated Realtor's valuation is based on market value of the best use of the property and assumes true market rents

Lender will base their valuation on the income that the property generates today (because if they have to foreclose, that is what they inherit)

Net Operating Income Capitalization Rate

## What can the Borrower Afford?

- You lender will want to make sure that you can afford your debt for the full amortization of the Ioan. To understand this they will look at your Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSC).



## DSC is really saying for

 every dollar in debt payments the borrower has an extra ___ cents to cover contributions to reserves or other unexpected
## expenses.



## How is Underwriting NOI Determined?

- Starts with your Income Statement
- NOI = Income - Expenses (excludes non-cash items \& property related debt)

When calculating NOI we don't include one-time capital expenditures

Treatment of reserves can vary when calculating NOI, typically only mandatory reserve payments are included

|  |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental Income | \$ | 428,259 |
| (rental arrears) | -\$ | 2,900 |
| Subsidies \& Grants | \$ | 270,607 |
| Parking | \$ | 19,590 |
| Laundry | \$ | 11,532 |
| Other Income | \$ | 1,990 |
| Total Income | \$ | 729,078 |
| Salaries | \$ | 62,105 |
| General Maintenance | \$ | 67,116 |
| Utilities | \$ | 113,505 |
| Taxes | \$ | - |
| Reserves* | \$ | 62,400 |
| Total Expenses | \$ | 305,126 |
| Net Operating Income | \$ | 423,952 |

[^0]
## How is Debt Service Determined?

- Debt Service = All principal and interest payments for all debt secured by the property
- If refinancing an existing mortgage, old mortgage payments will be replaced by new mortgage payments
- If seeking a second mortgage, existing first mortgage payments will remain and second mortgage payments will be added
- Debt Service is the sum of all property secured principal and interest payments, including all prior ranking debt


#### Abstract

Example Existing mortgage replaced by new $\$ 5$ million first mortgage. Annual principal plus interest payments of $\$ 309,575$. Thus total Debt Service is $\$ 309,575$.


## Debt Service Coverage Ratio - Example

$\frac{\mathrm{NOI}}{\text { Debt Service }}=\mathrm{DSC}$
$\frac{\$ 423,952}{\$ 309,575}=1.37$

After every dollar spent on operating and debt servicing this organization has $\$ 0.37$ to cover the unexpected.

## Debt Service Coverage Ratio - Example

$\frac{\mathrm{NOI}}{\text { Debt Service }}=\mathrm{DSC}$
$\frac{\$ 423,952}{\$ 309,575}=1.37$

In our example the non-profit housing
$\$ 423,952=1.12$
\$378,528 provider also had a second mortgage.
This added an additional debt service requirement

After every dollar spent on operating and debt servicing this organization has $\$ 0.37$ to cover the unexpected.

At Alterna we typically look for a DSC of 1.20 for a first mortgage when working with multi residential properties

## Valuation - A Simplified Example

Two identical buildings are located right next door to each other. One building charges market rents, and the other is a non-profit housing provider generating significantly less income. The buildings may look the same, but from the lenders' perspective they have very different valuations.

| Market Rent Apartment | Non-profit Housing <br> Provider |
| :--- | :--- |
| NOI | Value |
| $0.04 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| $\$ 7,500$ |  |
| $0.04 \%$ |  |$=\$ 187,500$

## Underwriting Valuation - Example

|  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental Income | \$ | 428,259 |
| (rental arrears) | -\$ | 2,900 |
| Subsidies \& Grants | \$ | 270,607 |
| Parking | \$ | 19,590 |
| Laundry | \$ | 11,532 |
| Other Income | \$ | 1,990 |
| Total Income | \$ | 729,078 |
| Salaries | \$ | 62,105 |
| General Maintenance | \$ | 67,116 |
| Utilities | \$ | 113,505 |
| Taxes | \$ | - |
| Reserves* | \$ | 62,400 |
| Total Expenses | \$ | 305,126 |
| Net Operating Income | \$ | 423,952 |

* Reserve requirements will depend on age and condition of building as well as what is mandated by any operating agreements in place

NOI
CAP Rate

## = Value

$\frac{\$ 423,952}{0.06 \%}=\$ 7,065,900$

$\frac{\text { Mortgage }}{\text { Value }}=$| Loan to Value Ratio |
| :--- |
| (LTV) |

$\frac{\$ 5,000,000}{\$ 7,065,900}=70.76 \%$
Max LTV is $75 \%$ of underwriting value

Alterna

## Lending Principles for Non-Profit Housing

1. Can this organization afford this debt
2. Do they have the funds to continue to maintain this property over the full amortization period
3. Do they have the funds they need to cover their operating expenses

## Summary - What is your Lender Thinking

- They have a solid reserve fund
- Their DSC on our debt is 1.37
- Total DSC on all debt is 1.12
- "I'm confident that they can service the debt"
- The building is in good shape and they have the reserve funds and ongoing contributions to maintain it
- Mortgage of $\$ 5$ million is for $70.76 \%$ of the $\$ 7$ million value of the building
- "I'm comfortable with how this loan is secured"



## How Subsidies are Treated by a Lender

- Subsidies will only be considered as income if they are there for the full amortization period of the loan
- Housing providers can mitigate against the risk of subsidy loss by ensuring that their lease agreements specify the full market rent
o This needs to be reconciled in financial statements as follows:
- Rental revenue (as per lease agreement)
- (less) rental supplement
- Plus rental subsidy


## Hidden Costs

Additional costs that you will need to budget include:

|  | Appraisal Report (new) |
| ---: | ---: |
| + | Environmental Report (3 years max) |
| + | Building Condition Assessment (new) |
| + | Legal Fees |
| + | Title Insurance |

Additionally your lender will charge a loan fee of approximately 50 bps on the total loan size

## Where does it go sideways?

- Management - If your property manager/board is not skilled/comfortable in the area of commercial lending and construction you may want to seek out additional supports
- Financial History - We look at historic data to do the analysis. If you have historically been on shakier financial ground than your are today, you will have to demonstrate what is in place to ensure that continues.
- Land Lease - If you have property on leased land, the lease will need to extend past the full amortization period of the Ioan
- Unapproved Consultants - You must use consultants and engineers that are approved by your lender (all lenders will have their own lists). Using an unapproved consultant or engineer can result in a need to redo work/additional costs.


## Questions?

## Sandra Baumann-Chadwick

 Senior Account Manager Alterna Savings(416) 213-7900 x 7707

Sandra.Baumann-Chadwick@alterna.ca


## Rethinking Financing and Development Peter Zimmerman

November 3, 2017

## New Commons Development Non-profit Developer

## Vision + Capital + Expertise


$\boldsymbol{T}$ NEWCOMMONS

## Backed by a

 Strong Family of Community-Based Sponsors
## Vancity <br> Community Foundation

## HAMILTON COMMUNITY FOUNDATION



For social and economic justice

## Project Focus




- Projects that are $\$ 10$ million or more

- Community impact
- National mandate
- Initial projects in Greater Toronto Area and Vancouver

NEW COMMONS DEVELOPMENT

1. The Objectives
2. Working Backwards from pro forma
3. Metrics of Lending analysis
4. Making the Equation Work

## Objectives

1. A Non-Profit provider interested in expanding an existing project or building new housing on a site within their portfolio or on a site they would acquire for the project.
2. Some of the metrics of analysis are the same as a refinancing deal, some are different. The focus is on the unique financing problems on a new construction project.

## Refinancing vs. New Construction

## Refinancing

Project: Real

## Source of Data:

Audited Financial
Statements

Lending Risk:
Low
Commitment: First Mortgage

## New Construction

Project:<br>Hypothetical

## Source of Data:

Appraisals, Construction Cost
Reports, Market Studies, Revenue
and Operating Cost Projections
Lending Risk:
High
Commitment:
Construction Financing and Take Out Mortgage

## Working Backwards

## The Pro Forma "Punchline" Sources and Uses

| Sources | Uses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt 37,500,000 75.0\% | Land | 5,000,000 | 10.0\% |
| Program Funding - 0.0\% | Hard Costs | 38,000,000 | 76.0\% |
| Sponsor Equity 12,500,000 $250 \%$ | Soft Costs | 7,000,000 | 14.0\% |
| Total 50,000,000 100.0\% | Total | 50,000,000 | 100.0\% |

## Working Backwards

## Program Funding

## Sources

| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $8,500,000$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |



| Uses |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Hard Costs | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |



## Working Backwards

## Free Land

Sources

| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $3,500,000$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Uses

| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hard Costs | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |



## Confirming Assumptions

## Free Land

Sources

| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Program Funding | 4000,000 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $3,500,000$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Uses |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Hard Costs | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |



Appraisal Required

## Confirming Assumptions

## Soft Costs

| Sources |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $3,500,000$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Uses

| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hard Costs | 38000,000 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Estimates to Service Proposals

## Confirming Assumptions

## Hard Costs

| Sources |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $3,500,000$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Uses

| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hard Costr | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Cost Analysis<br>Class 'B' (Substantive) Estimate

## The Sources

## Construction Finance

| Sources |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Debt | $37,500,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | $3,500,000$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Uses

| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hard Costs | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $7,000,000$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | $50,000,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Construction Financing Metrics

- Loan to Cost Ratio

1. Usually no more than $75 \%$ of the Cost of the Project
2. Advances: after owner equity


Пewcomons DEVELOPMENT

## Construction Financing Metrics

- Debt Coverage Ratio
- Usually > 1.2 times coverage in projected project operations


## Example

1. Operations pro forma shows NOI of $\$ 2,000,000$ / year
2. Maximum Mortgage Payments $=2,000,000 / 1.2=\$ 1,667,000$ year
3. Based on $4 \%$ interest rate and 25 year Amortization
$\$ 1,667,000$ in payments $=\$ 35,000,000$ mortgage

## Making the Equation Work



## Approaches to Increasing NOI



## Approaches to Increasing Debt Capacity

Construction


## Financing

- Borrow at government rates
- Long-term debt
- Increased amortization periods
- Interest only period of financing
- Higher debt ratios

Alternative financing sources (reduce equity contribution needs

## Case Study: Community Service Agency, Toronto

## The Opportunity

- Strong community agency with ownership of depleted asset
- Interest in redevelopment and desire to expand its affordable housing capacity


## The Partnership



## Case Study: Toronto

## NCD At Risk Investment



## Towards an Optimum Financing Model

| Sources |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Debt | $40,095,000$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| Program Funding | $4,000,000$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Land Value | $5,000,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Sponsor Equity | 405,000 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $49,500,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Uses |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Land | $5,000,000$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Hard Costs | $38,000,000$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $6,500,000$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Total | $49,500,000$ | $99.0 \%$ |

## Conclusion

- There are no magic bullets
- Projects will require:
- Grants
- Land
- Below-market interest rates \& extended amortization
- Relaxation of lending requirements
- Operating subsidies - rent supplements / property tax waivers
- At risk up front capital to construction financing


[^0]:    * Reserve requirements will depend on age and condition of building as well as what is mandated by any operating agreements in place

