#### All You Need to Know About Proton Pump Inhibitors in 20 Minutes



Mike Kolber BSc, MD, CCFP, MSc GI Update May 2014

#### Conflict of Interest

- · Faculty: Mike Kolber
- Academic Family Physician with clinical work in Peace River, Alberta
- Relationships with commercial interests: None. No funding from industry, no grants or speakers honoraria or consulting fees
- Supported by University of Alberta department of Family Medicine and Alberta College of Family Physicians





#### Mr. Peter Paul Ingram

- 42 yo male programmer complains of daily post prandial (coffee, beer) retrosternal chest discomfort. As an evidence based health care provider, you:
- A) Give him **Esomeprazole** 40 mg / bid x 3 month x 3 repeats, "I gave him the most expensive (therefore the best), won't have to see him again for another year. Next patient nurse'
- B) Send him to the pharmacy for OTC Ranitidine
- C) Tell him to buy bottles of (and shares in) of **TUMS**
- Tell him to  $\downarrow$  weight,  $\downarrow$  coffee, beer, elevate head of the hed
- E) Give 8 12 weeks of your cheapest PPI, then re-evaluate

#### How many Canadians take PPIs?

- 27 million Rxs 2013
- · All PPIs in top 50 Rxs in Canada
  - Panto #4, Rabrep #26, Esomep #27, Lansop #29, Omep #50
- Dollars: Esomeprazole (Nexium) + Apo: \$380 million 2012
  - 2013 US: 5 BILLION (#1 for overall spending)

 $\frac{http://www.canadianhealthcarenetwork.ca/pharmacists/news/special-reports/top-100-drugs-19660/4$ 

#### Appropriate PPI uses

- · Short Term
  - Acute treatment UGI bleeding, PUD
  - ICU patients on ventilator / coagulopathy
- Long Term
  - GERD: earn your LT PPI!
    - Dyspepsia
  - Barrett's esophagus
  - Gastroprotection
  - Post PUD and GI bleeds

#### Inappropriate PPI Uses

- 60% may not have appropriate indication long term  $\mbox{PPI}^1$
- Admitted to medicine ward<sup>2</sup>
  - 40% put on, 50% discharged w PPI (10% had
- Long term care<sup>3</sup>: 27% advanced dementia
- 18% took in last week of life!
- Asthma, cough, atypical ENT symptoms: does not work!4,5
- Routine Post cholecystectomy

<sup>1</sup>BMJ 2008:336:2. <sup>2</sup>Ann Pharmacother 2006:40:1261. <sup>3</sup>J Am Geriatr Soc 2010: 58: 880

NEJM 2009;360:1487, 5Chest 2005; 128:1128

### How well do PPIs work? Preventing NSAID associated PUD

- 40% chronic NSAID users → endoscopic ulcer<sup>1</sup>
   < 4% / year → clinical PUD</li>
- Misoprostol 800 ug/d vs placebo²: RA + NSAIDs: 40%↓clinical PUD
  - ARD = 0.4% → NNT = 250 x 6 months
- PPI vs Placebo: > 3 /12 NSAIDs use<sup>1</sup> (1' prevention)
- Endoscopic ulcers: PPI 8%, placebo 20%, NNT = 9 over 3-6 months
- PPI vs H2Ant<sup>3</sup>: recurrent PUD (2' prevention)
- PPI 28%, H2ANt 41%, NNT = 8 over 6 months
- PPI vs Misoprostol: current or recurrent PUD
- Initial ulcer healing equal better with high dose Miso<sup>4</sup>
   PPI ↓ recurrent PUD @ 6 months: 39% vs 51%; NNT 8
- AEs misoprostol > PPI (31% vs 16% (diarrhea))

<sup>1</sup>Health Tech Assess 2007;11(51) <sup>2</sup>Ann Int Med 1995:123:241 <sup>3</sup>NEJM 1998;338: 719 <sup>4</sup>NEJM 1998;338: 727 <sup>5</sup>Arch Intern Med. 2002;162:169

#### Who needs gastroprotection? Risk factors for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-associated serious gastrointestinal adverse events Characteristic Odds ratio (95% CI) History of ulcer complications 13.5 (10.3-17.7) 9.0 (5.7-14.2) Multiple NSAIDs High-dose NSAIDs 7.0 (5.2-9.6) 6.4 (2.8-14.6) Age ≥ 70 years 5.6 (4.6-6.9) Age ≥ 60 years 3.1 (2.5-3.7) Concomitant corticosteroid use 2.2 (1.4-3.5) History of cardiovascular disease 1.8 (1.1-3.2)

# Who Needs Gastroprotection? Table 1. Patients at increased risk for NSAID Git teacity Fig. nat 1. Hotory of a previously completated uture, especially recent 2. Multiple DOT and factory Advances rank (1-7 days dated by) 1. Age in Segue 2. A previous affecting of commissional uture 4. Aprentage affecting of commissional uture 5. A previous affecting of commissional uture 6. Comment and it appoint including but detel consciousness 6. Care rank 1. In or as factory 1. In or as factory 1. A provious an international uture 1. In or as factory 1. A provious an international uture of consciousness and read to be addressed security and read to be addressed security and read to be addressed security. Table 2. Summary of recommendations for prevention of NSAID-related uture complications Castrointestinal risks 1. NSAID abone (the least description) 1. NSAID-PPrintesporated Low CV risk 1. NSAID abone (the least description) 1. NSAID-PPrintesporated Low CV risk 1. NSAID abone (the least description) 1. NSAID-PPrintesporated Low CV risk 1.

## Do PPIs work for GERD? '3-6-9 rule'

Can J Gastro 2002: 16: 231

# HEARTBURN EXAMPLE PATIENTS WHO RESPOND IN THE PPI GROUP \$ 65% AT 4 WEEKS, 85% AT 8 WEEKS PATIENTS WHO RESPOND TO H2RA \$ 40% AT 4 WEEKS, 55% AT 8 WEEKS PATIENTS WHO RESPOND IN THE PLACEBO GROUP \$ 15% AT 4 WEEKS, 30% AT 8 WEEKS 8-9/10 PATIENTS WILL RESPOND TO A PPI 3 OF THESE IMPROVED NOT BECAUSE OF A DRUG AN ADDITIONAL 2-3 OF THESE WOULD HAVE IMPROVED WITH AN H2RA COCHRANE LIBRARY CD00324

Cochrane Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD003244

# GERD Are PPIs equally effective?

- Depends who takes you golfing!
- Individual patient responses

Khan, Cochrane Systematic Reviews 2007, CD003244

#### If all the same → use the cheapest! 90 days cost – Alberta 2014

<ul> <li>Rabeprazole 20mg:</li> </ul>	\$35
Omeprazole 20mg:	\$50
• Lansoprazole 30mg:	\$55
<ul> <li>Pantoprazole 40mg:</li> </ul>	\$55
• Tecta 40 mg:	\$85
Nexium 40mg:	\$195
<ul> <li>Ranitidine 150mg bid:</li> </ul>	\$45

PRICE COMPARISON OF COMMONLY PRESCRIBED PHARMACEUTICALS IN ALBERTA 2014

#### What about BID PPI?

- No difference c/w OD PPI1
- 25% Nova Scotians, 23% US Veterans  $\underline{\text{started}}$  on BID PPI $^{2,3}$
- Reserve BID for patients with classic GERD still symptomatic on once daily PPI

Khan, Cochrane Reviews 2007, CD003244, <sup>2</sup> Gastro 2004 April; 126(4) Supp 2: W1277, A603
 J Gen Intern Med 2013 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-013-2345-0

#### GERD: How long initial treatment? Earn your Long Term PPI!

- Try ~ 8 -12 weeks and re-evalute1
- If better with PPI and non-pharm → dc PPI
- If symptoms recurrence → restart
  - daily or less frequent
  - On demand

<sup>1</sup>Armstrong Can J Gastro 2004 (19): 15

#### On Demand PPIs

- · Most GERDs are NERDs!
  - 60-70% GERDs: No esophagitis on endoscopy<sup>2</sup>
  - On demand = daily PPIs for patients w/o visible esophagitis<sup>1</sup>
  - → On demand should work in most patients
- GERDs followed long term<sup>3</sup>
  - 80% PPIs: 50% daily, 30% on demand

<sup>1</sup>Aliment Pharm 2007; 26: 195, <sup>2</sup>Can J Gastro 2004 (19): 15, <sup>3</sup>Aliment Pharm 2007; 25: 715

#### Can patients stop PPIs?

- Yes, 27% of PPI users x 4 years → successfully dc¹
- Predictors of stopping: older age and dyspeptics<sup>2</sup>
   Less successful: heartburn

<sup>1</sup>Aliment Pharm 2006 ;24: 945 <sup>2</sup>Am J Gastro 2009; 104:S27

# Stopping PPIs Cold Turkey or taper?

- RCT taper vs. not taper off PPIs
  - More successful in getting off PPIs (31 vs 22%; NSS)<sup>1</sup>
- 120 healthy volunteers (no GERD sx)
  - RCT to place bo or PPI then  $\ensuremath{\mbox{dc}}$
  - 20% developed GERD sx after dc PPI
- I tapper!

<sup>1</sup>Aliment Pharm 2006 ;24: 945 <sup>2</sup>Gastroenterology 2009;137:80



#### **PPI Potential Adverse Effects**

- Gastrointestinal
  - Nuisance diarhea, lymphocytic colitis
  - C. diff. and c. diff recurrence
- Pneumonia
- · Osteoporosis, hip #
- · VB12 deficiency, hypomagnesemia, interstitial nephritis

#### PPI and GI AEs

• Nuisance diarhea: 5-10%

- microscopic colitis1

Clostridium difficile: ORs: 1.93<sup>2</sup> to 2.05<sup>3</sup>

• Patients with C Diff: risk of recurrence ↑ with

- PPI 25.2% no PPI 18.5%, ARD ~ 7% NNH = 15

• Risk of recurrence > initial, PPI > H2A

<sup>1</sup> Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010; 32: 1124 <sup>2</sup>Am J Gastro 2012; 107:1011 <sup>3</sup>Am J Gastro 2007:102:2047

Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(9):772

#### Putting it all together PPI and C diff3

• Community<sup>1</sup> 1/10000 ADD PPI → 1 extra case

Hospital admissions<sup>2</sup>

 Without antibiotics 5 / 1000 ADD PPI → 5 extra cases 42/1000 ADD PPI → 36 extra cases - With antibiotics

- On PPI at admission

53/1000 ADD Abx → 45 extra

cases

Community risk low: do they really need PPI during admissions? (especially if contemplating Abx)

<sup>1</sup>BMC Inf Dis 2011, 11:194, <sup>2</sup>NEJM 2011;365;1693, <sup>3</sup>Am J Gastro 2012;

#### PPI and pneumonia

- CAP Rates: primary care 360,000 patients x 7 years<sup>1</sup>
  - Non PPI users 0.6% / year vs current PPI users 2.5%
- Adjusted: 1 additional case per 100 patients / year
- SR PPIs<sup>2</sup> or Acid ↓ meds<sup>3</sup>: OR 1.36 (1.12–1.65)
  - Higher dose PPI → ↑risk<sup>1,3</sup>
  - New starts (< 30 days) > LT use?5
- Recurrent CAP4: if start PPI after 1st pneumonia
- 15% vs 8% no PPI → ARD = 7%, NNH = 15 over 5 years

Overall risk low, if pneumonia  $\rightarrow$  limit concomitant PPI

<sup>1</sup>JAMA 2004;292:1955 <sup>2</sup>Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010; 31: 1165 <sup>3</sup>CMAJ 2011. DOI:10.1503, <sup>4</sup>Am J Med 2010 123, 47, <sup>5</sup>Ann Intern Med. 2008;149:391-398

#### PPI and fractures

Systematic Review	# of Studies	RR / OR with PPI	RR / OR with H2ANT	Comments
BMJ 2012 <sup>1</sup>	11	1.30 (1.25, 1.36)	NR	
Ann Fam Med 2011 <sup>2</sup>	11	1.29 (1.18, 1.41)	1.10 (0.99-1.23)	Overall fracture
Am J Med 2011 <sup>3</sup>	11	1.30 (1.19-1.43)	1.12 (0.97-1.30)	Hip fracture
Am J Gastro 2011 <sup>4</sup>	16	1.25 (1.14, 1.37)	NR	Hip fracture ST use > LT use
Eur J Gastro 2011	7	1.24 (1.15, 1.34)	NR	Hip only
Bone 2011	12	1.23 (1.11, 1.36)	1.12(0.99- 1.27)	Hip

<sup>1</sup>Khalili, BMJ 2012;344:e372 doi: 10.1136, <sup>2</sup>Eom, Ann Fam Med 2011;9:257 <sup>3</sup> Yu, Am J Medicine 2011; 124:519, <sup>4</sup>Ngamruengphong, Am J Gastroenterol 2011; 106:1209 <sup>5</sup> Ye, Eur J Gastro Hepat 2011; 23:794, Kwok, Bone 2011; 48 : 768

#### Putting it all together Attributable Fracture risk

- Nurses Health Study: prospective cohort, 80,000 healthy US nurses, mean 66 years, data on PPI / Hip # for 8 years1
  - Hip fracture risk not on PPI = 1.5 / 1000
  - Hip fracture risk on PPI = 2 / 1000
  - Absolute risk difference = 0.5 / 1000
  - NNH = 2000 x 8 years for 1 additional hip fracture
    - Smoking ↑ risk > PPI and duration of use
    - Risk ~ baseline if stop > 2 years

BMJ 2012;344:e372 doi: 10.1136

# 

#### **Summary PPIs**

- Earn your LT PPI, **cheapest,** lowest dose (on demand)
- Clean up the: "I don't know why I take PPIs"
  - Taper, then DC
- Adverse Events:
  - Attributable risks low, but a ton of people on them...
  - Limit potential AEs by judicious use of PPIs
  - Patient with C diff, CAP, osteoporosis or hip # → consider stopping PPI if possible