## Social Performance is not Subjective!

## Understanding and Monitoring Social Performance Management Standards



Speaker: Dina Pons, East Asia Regional Director and Social Performance Manager at Incofin IM SPTF BOD member and Social Investor Group Chairperson

## DISCLAIMER

 \&
## CLARIFICATION

## What is Incofin?

Leading international impact investor: USD 700m of assets under management. (Top 10 worldwide)

Provides equity and debt in emerging countries
Our mission is to invest in double bottom line financial institutions in order to increase financial inclusion, while generating an attractive financial return to our investors.

Global presence: (Belgium (HQ), Colombia, India, Kenya and Cambodia)
www.incofin.com


## What is the Social Performance Task Force ?

- SPTF is a global membership organization
- +2,600 members in 127 countries
- Representing every stakeholder group in the global microfinance industry

The vision of the SPTF is that social performance management (SPM) is standard business practice and considered fundamental to achieving the social promise of responsible inclusive finance

## What you will be able to say at the end of this workshop :

"I now know what the Standards for Social
Performance Management are, and how they can be useful for my institution."
"I now know what the tool called SPI4 is, and how I can use in my organization in order to evaluate my SPM practices".
"I will never say again that social performance management is subjective and impossible to be rigorously measured!"

## What is social performance management and why is it important?



## The Universal Standards for Social Performance Management

- Released in 2012, the Universal Standards is a comprehensive manual of best practices in SPM.
- The Universal Standards are the first and only resource that draws on the many processes in the field to create a unified structure and language for social performance management
- Globally accepted standards based on best practices from leading organizations
- Developed for and by the people in microfinance (regulators, investors, microfinance services providers, raters, auditors)
- Also a resource for investor due diligence and monitoring Microfinance Foundation
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There are 19 standards, organized into 6 dimensions.

## They

incorporate learning from many initiatives in our sector.


## The Universal Standards: State of Practice

- According to a 2014 survey conducted by SPTF, the MIX, and the Global Appeal for Responsible Microfinance
> 90\% of stakeholders are 'aware', 'familiar' or 'very familiar' with the USSPM
> SPM change is happening: after learning about the USSPM, financial service providers (FSPs) develop action plans, report to MIX, or conduct selfassessment
> Barriers for implementing: Only 20\% of FSPs began implementing additional SPM practices after learning about the USSPM due to lack of capacity
$>$ To support the implementation of the Universal Standards the SPTF has developed free online trainings, a detailed implementation guide, and collected several examples of real practice from institutions


## Measuring Social Performance Management



## Measuring Social Performance: What is the SPI4?

- The common data collection and assessment tool for the Universal Standards
- A social audit tool that allows financial institutions to analyze their current SPM practices in a way that fits their budget and preferences
- A customizable tool that can be tailored to the institution's mission through optional modules on poverty, gender, and environment
- A reporting tool


## Why is it important to assess social performance management?

- Without assessment, it is virtually impossible to identify all areas of weakness
- Assessment facilitates effective action planning based on the results
- Several assessments allow an institution to track its progress over time
- Improved SPM helps the institution to achieve its mission


## ¿What is the SPI4 ALINUS?

- A reduced version of the SPI4 tool, developed by a group of social investors - with just 80 common indicators
- A tool for social due diligence and monitoring
- As more investors implement the SPI4, investors will have a common database for benchmarking of data in the sector
- Common use of the tool will also mean reduced reporting burden for FSPs
- Currently being piloted by the ALINUS investor group
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## Use of the SPI4

Data as of July 2015:
Audits SPI4 by region
(completed, underway, pipeline)

- Over $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ individual users have downloaded the tool
- Cerise has receibed 70 completed assessments
- Representing over 50 countries
- With 40 assessments in process

- Estimating over 120 completed assessments by year end
- 170 people have been trained in the tool


## To download the SPI4

## Cerise



DOWNLOAD SPI4


CONDUCT AN AUDIT


GET TRAINED

POWERED BY SQUARESPACE

- Download the tool for free on the Cerise site: http://www.cerise-spi4.org/
- To access the ALINUS tool - just chose the "ALINUS" option on the welcome page of the tool
- Email for questions : spi4@cerise-microfinance.org


## CASE STUDY : BFSP MFI

## BFSP Description \& Mission

## As of December 2014

GLP: USD 95m
Active clients: 330,000
PAR 30: 0.15\%
Av. loan size: USD 330

Net profit: USD 4m
ROA: 3.1\%
APR : 34\%

Corporate mission:

To help large numbers of poor people to improve their living standards through the delivery of appropriate and viable microfinance services".

## Let's grade BFSP practices on DIMENSION 1 !

## BFSP Description \& Mission

Corporate mission:

To help large numbers of poor people to improve their living standards through the delivery of appropriate and viable microfinance services".

## BFSP Social Dashboard

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breadth of Outreach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of total client | 189,390 | 218,256 | 251,365 | 280,195 | 312,989 | 371,921 | 410,142 |
| Number of rural borrowers | 188,696 | 217,477 | 250,930 | 275,251 | 291,859 | 323,828 | 329,760 |
| Number of Voluntary Savers | 1,702 | 1,770 | 2,781 | 8,924 | 29,910 | 58,642 | 95,281 |
| Number borrower with saving account |  |  |  |  | 8,780 | 10,549 | 14,899 |
| Number of Money Transfer Transactions | - | - | - | 1,676 | 78,655 | 165,107 | 312,460 |
| Number of Villages | 4,762 | 6,253 | 8,032 | 9,152 | 10,116 | 11,358 | 12,075 |
| \% Village Penetration | 36\% | 45\% | 57\% | 65\% | 72\% | 81\% | 86\% |
| \% Household Penetration | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Depth of Outreach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%New Group Clients in Bottom 2 Quartiles (Rel. Poverty) | 50\% | 51\% | N/A | 47\% | 46\% | 52\% | 57\% |
| \%New Groun Clients below RFPL | 76\% | 56\% | 49\% | 55\% | 45\% | 48\% | 42\% |
| \% ID POOR I and II Client |  |  |  |  | 33\% | 39\% | 37\% |

## BFSP Social Dashboard

## Adequacy of Products

| Number of Loan Products/Product lines* | 5 | 5 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 17 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Client Retention | $76 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |
| \% Loans < USD 300 | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| \% Group Loan Clients Graduating to Individual Loans | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| \% Borrowers with Savings Accounts |  |  |  |  | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |
| \% Voluntary Savers who also Borrow |  |  |  |  | $29 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ |  |
| Average Loan Size/GNI |  | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

## Transparency



Number of Client HotlineCalls
Number of Grievance Calls to Hotline
\% of Client Grievances Calls that were Complaints

| 0.73 | 0.74 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Staff Satisfaction and Exit

| Staff Satisfaction Score | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female Staff Satisfaction Score |  |  |  | 3.47 | 3.49 | 3.55 | 3.66 |
| Total Staff | 565 | 767 | 844 | 973 | 1,187 | 1,444 | 1,740 |
| \% Female Staff | 21\% | 21\% | 20\% | 24\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| \% Female Management Staff | 30\% | 22\% | 21\% | 29\% | 27\% | 38\% | 38\% |
| Avg. Staff Length of Service | 21 mos. | 29 mos. | 31 mos . | 34 mos. | $37 \mathrm{mos}$. | 37 mos . | 37 mos . |
| Number Staff of Voluntary Turnover | 36 | 35 | 78 | 113 | 128 | 190 | 265 |
| Voluntary Turnover Rate | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% |
| \% Expressed Dissatisfaction in Exit In | the line | ger/worb | 29\% | 40\% | 35\% | 47\% | 8\% |

## Client Protection

| \% Borrowers with Multiple Loans | 21\% | 27\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 39\% | 48\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Borrowers with Informal Sector Loans | 12\% | 15\% | 9\% | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| \% Clients Experiencinorepavment Problems | 18\% | 16\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% |
| At least one positive comment | 85\% | 86\% | 57\% | 93\% | 89\% | 93\% | 92\% |
| At least one negative comment | 12\% | 8\% | 7\% | 14\% | 11\% | 21\% | 15\% |

## Let's continue grading BFSP practices on DIMENSION 1!

## YOUR TURN NOW!

## Listen to the interview of BFSP CEO and grade BFSP practices on

DIMENSION 2 :<br>"ENSURE BOARD, MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEE COMMITMENT TO SOCIAL GOALS"<br>\&<br>DIMENSION 3:<br>"TREAT CLIENTS RESPONSIBLY"

## CONCLUSIONS

## CONCLUSION \# 1:

Any institution interested in improving its SPM can use SPI4 for self assessment, internal monitoring strategic decision making, and external reporting.

BFSP
Regulated

## SOCIAL MISSION

To help large numbers of poor people improve their livelihoods options through the delivery of appropriate and viable microfinance services.

## ALINUS SCORES IN SOCIAL PERFORMANCE:



## SOCIAL OBJECTIVES

Increased access to financial services

## Poverty reduction

## ALINUS SCORE IN CLIENT PROTECTION:



## CONCLUSION \#2:

Monitoring and improving SPM does not have to be costly, all tools and resources are publicly available on the SPTF website

## Use the Social Performance Resource Center




Dimension 1:
Define and monitor social goals

1. Strategic management toolkit handbook
2. Imp-Act Indicators practice note


Dimension 2:
Ensure board, management, and employee commitment to social goals

1. Governance guidance


Dimension 3:
Design products and services that meet clients'
needs and
preferences

1. Portfolios of the poor


Dimension 4:
Treat clients responsibly

1. Avoidance of overindebtedness: Guidelines
2. Calculating transparent pricing tool
3 Client comolaint

## CONCLUSION \# 3:

In order for our industry to truly be double bottom line, similarly to the way we track financial performance and institutional performance, we equally need to track social performance.

## Thank you for your attention!



For further information, please contact SPTF at info@sptf.info

## Resources and more information

- Universal Standards for SPM Manual: http://sptf.info/spmstandards/universal-standards
- The Implementation Guide: http://sptf.info/images/usspm\ impl\ guide english 20141217.pdf
- The Resource Center: http://sptf.info/resources/resource-center
- The TA database can help you find a SPM consultant: http://sptf.info/resources/ta-providers
- Case examples of good practice from the Implementation Series: http://sptt.info/online-trainings/universal-standards-implementation

